Open Access

Exosome IncRNA IFNG-AS1 derived from mesenchymal stem cells of human adipose ameliorates neurogenesis and ASD-like behavior in BTBR mice

Yu Fu¹⁺, Yuan-Iin Zhang^{1,5+}, Rong-qi Liu², Meng-meng Xu¹, Jun-Iing Xie¹, Xing-Iiao Zhang¹, Guang-ming Xie¹, Yao-ting Han², Xin-Min Zhang², Wan-ting Zhang², Jing Zhang^{2,4*} and Jun Zhang^{1,3,4*}

Abstract

Background The transplantation of exosomes derived from human adipose-derived mesenchymal stem cells (hAD-SCs) has emerged as a prospective cellular-free therapeutic intervention for the treatment of neurodevelopmental disorders (NDDs), as well as autism spectrum disorder (ASD). Nevertheless, the efficacy of hADSC exosome transplantation for ASD treatment remains to be verified, and the underlying mechanism of action remains unclear.

Results The exosomal long non-coding RNAs (IncRNAs) from hADSC and human umbilical cord mesenchymal stem cells (hUCMSC) were sequenced and 13,915 and 729 IncRNAs were obtained, respectively. The IncRNAs present in hADSC-Exos encompass those found in hUCMSC-Exos and are associated with neurogenesis. The biodistribution of hADSC-Exos in mouse brain ventricles and organoids was tracked, and the cellular uptake of hADSC-Exos was evaluated both in vivo and in vitro. hADSC-Exos promote neurogenesis in brain organoid and ameliorate social deficits in ASD mouse model BTBRT + tf/J (BTBR). Fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) confirmed IncRNA Ifngas1 significantly increased in the prefrontal cortex (PFC) of adult mice after hADSC-Exos intraventricular injection. The IncRNA Ifngas1 can act as a molecular sponge for miR-21a-3p to play a regulatory role and promote neurogenesis through the miR-21a-3p/PI3K/AKT axis.

Conclusion We demonstrated hADSC-Exos have the ability to confer neuroprotection through functional restoration, attenuation of neuroinflammation, inhibition of neuronal apoptosis, and promotion of neurogenesis both in vitro and in vivo. The hADSC-Exos-derived IncRNA IFNG-AS1 acts as a molecular sponge and facilitates neurogenesis via the miR-21a-3p/PI3K/AKT signaling pathway, thereby exerting a regulatory effect. Our findings suggest a potential therapeutic avenue for individuals with ASD.

Keywords hADSC-Exos, hUCMSC-Exos, Neurogenesis, IncRNA IFNG-AS1, miR-21a-3p

[†]Yu Fu and Yuan-lin Zhang contributed equally to this study.

*Correspondence: Jing Zhang 96755@tongji.edu.cn Jun Zhang 92870@tongji.edu.cn Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s) 2024. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/ficenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.



Introduction

Derived from adipose, umbilical cord and bone marrow tissues and organs, Mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) are considered a prolific source for tissue engineering and regenerative medicine [1]. Exosomes, which range in size from 30-150 nm and contain a diverse array of proteins, mRNAs, long noncoding RNAs (lncRNAs), and other macromolecules [2]. Two distinct exosome types, hADSC-Exos and hUCMSC-Exos, offer numerous advantages over MSCs, including the retention of parent cell neuroprotection function, long-term stability, minimal immunological rejection, easily internalized into receptor cells, and lower probability of tumor development [3]. Consequently, hADSC-Exos and hUCMSC-Exos have emerged as a prospective cell-free treatment strategy for intervening in brain diseases [4]. Nevertheless, the heterogeneity in hADSC-Exos and hUCMSC-Exos remains unclear.

Exosomes contain lncRNAs, which have been shown to play a key part in regulating neurogenesis in individuals with ASD [5]. Through their ceRNA activity, lncRNAs can act as microRNAs (miRNAs) sponges and thereby contribute to endogenous neurogenesis, apoptosis, neural plasticity, and immune modulation [6–8]. A majority of ASD brains exhibit a common pattern of lncRNAs dysregulation, such as PTCHD1AS 1-3, SHANK2-AS and BDNF-AS [9]. Exosomes approximately reflect the intracellular status of their host cells, which implies their heterogeneity in different tissue source. However, the role of exosomal lncRNAs is inadequately understood in different tissue source.

The core symptoms of ASD are impaired social interaction, impaired communication, and repetitive stereotyped behavior disorder, a heterogeneous developmental disorder [10]. The potential neurobiological etiology of ASD includes GABAergic imbalances, impaired neurogenesis and neuroimmune processes [11]. The prevalence of ASD is increasing year by year, but currently, there is no established standard drug for patients with ASD [12]. Stem cell-based regenerative therapy has been widely concerned for their ability to treat diverse range of neurological disorders [13]. Recent studies have shown that transplantation of hematopoietic stem cells (HSCs) from the fetal liver is beneficial in alleviating ASD-like symptoms in children [14]. Transplantation of human amniotic epithelial cells (hAECs) corrects social deficits in BTBR mice, the specific mechanism of which is related to the promotion of hippocampal neurogenesis [15]. Despite the disclosure of the advantageous impact of MSC on the fundamental symptoms of BTBR mice, the precise mechanism through which MSC-Exo confers benefits to neurogenesis remains undisclosed [16].

In the present study, the lncRNA-seq of hADSC-Exos and hUCMSC-Exos to elucidate the functional diversity of MSC-Exos. Subsequently, the underlying mechanism responsible for the neuroprotective properties of hADSC-Exos was explored. In vitro experiments demonstrated that hADSC-Exos substantially enhanced the accumulation of neural progenitor cells (NPCs) and promoted neuron survival in brain organoids. In vivo, hADSC-Exos mitigated stereotyped and anxiety behavior, impaired new object recognition, and social deficits in BTBR mice. The administration of hADSC-Exos demonstrated the ability to ameliorate neurodevelopmental abnormalities and suppress the inflammatory microenvironment within the brains of BTBR mice. MiR-21a-3p expression was markedly upregulated in BTBR mice based on qRT-PCR results, which was effectively ameliorated by the intervention with hADSC-Exos. These findings indicate a potential regulator role of hADSC-Exos in the process of neurogenesis.

Results

Characterization of exosomes derived from hADSC and hUCMSC

Human umbilical cord and adipose tissue samples were obtained as described in the "Materials and methods" section. Based on our published studies, hADSCs and hUCMSCs were cultured and their exosomes were isolated from their supernatant by differential ultracentrifugation, after getting enough exosomes, the exosomes were characterized and analyzed [17]. Typical morphology of hADSCs and hUCMSCs as indicated in Fig. 1a. Spindle-shaped morphology was observed in both hAD-SCs and hUCMSCs at 4–7 days after initial plating, and hADSCs and hUCMSCs at passage 5 (P5) observed in Fig. 1a both showed homogeneous fibroblastic-like morphology. According to Alcian blue staining, Oil red O staining, and Alizarin red S staining (ARS), our hADSCs and hUCMSCs can differentiate into Osteocytes, adipocytes and chondrocytes (Fig. 1b). The typical expression markers were identified by flow cytometry to determine the characteristics of mesenchymal stem cells [18]. Here, hADSCs and hUCMSCs showed a prominent expression of the mesenchymal stromal cell markers (CD73⁺, CD 90⁺, CD105⁺, CD45⁻ and HLA-DR⁻, Fig. 1c). We extracted exosomes by using hADSCs and hUCMSCs through ultrahigh-speed centrifugation (Additional file 1: Fig. S1). Transmission EM (TEM) revealed that exosomes from hADSCs and hUCMSCs had typical cup-shaped structures, with a diameter of around 100 nm (Fig. 1d). The exosome size was examined by Zetasizer Nano-Zs analyser, which revealed that the diameter of exosomes varies from 30 to 150 nm (Fig. 1e). Then, the well-known exosomal markers, CD9, CD81, CD63 and Calnexin were compared between hADSC-Exos and hUCMSC-Exos by western blot. CD9, CD81 and CD63 were both expressed in the hADSC-Exos and hUCMSC-Exos and did not show the expression of the endoplasmic reticulum protein Calnexin (Fig. 1f).

LncRNAs sequencing analysis to identify hADSC-Exo and hUCMSC-Exo

With the aim of exploring the similarities and differences between hADSC-Exo and hUCMSC-Exo, exosomal lncR-NAs were sequenced in hADSC-Exo and hUCMSC-Exo (Fig. 2a and Additional file 1: Fig. S2a-d). When comparing hADSC-Exo to hUCMSC-Exo, BDNF-AS and other IncRNAs were higher expressed in hADSC-Exo. GAS5 and other lncRNAs were higher expressed in hUCMSC-Exo in volcano plot (Fig. 2b). Next, we used qRT-PCR analysis to confirm the expression of several lncRNAs in hADSC-Exo to hUCMSC-Exo. The results showed that the expression of lnc-DLX6-AS1 and IFNG-AS1 were higher in hADSC-Exo compared with that of hUCMSC-Exo. The results showed that the expression of Inc-TUG1 and MALAT1 were higher in hUCMSC-Exo compared with that of hADSC-Exo (Fig. 2c). We carried out GO term enrichment and KEGG pathway enrichment analysis of target genes of lncRNAs in hADSC-Exo to elucidate the potential biological role of hADSC-Exo. The GO analysis revealed that the DEGs were significantly associated with nucleotide receptor activity and translational initiation (Fig. 2d). KEGG pathway analysis showed that rheumatoid arthritis, alcoholism and ribosomal are the main pathways among the upregulated gene (Fig. 2e). The hUCMSC-Exo lncRNAs targetgene GO analysis showed that the DEGs were notably engaged in aldehyde dehydrogenase (NAD) activity and ncRNA metabolic process (Fig. 2f). KEGG pathway analysis showed that Valine, leucine and isoleucine degradation and cell cycle are the main pathways among the upregulated gene (Fig. 2g). The above results suggest that hADSC-Exo protection in immune microenvironment. The pathogenesis of ASD including immune dysregulation, environmental factors, hereditary susceptibility, etc. Our subsequent experiments will explore hADSC-Exo's effects on neurodevelopment and its role in ASD, based on the high abundance and reproducibility of hADSC-Exo lncRNAs.

hADSC-Exo suppress proliferation of NSC and tend to promote neurogenesis in brain organoids

To further determine the contribution of hADSC-Exo during neurodevelopment, a three-dimensional (3D) environment co-culture system was established. Hence, we generated brain organoids following a previous study's method [19]. Immunofluorescence revealed that PKH26labeled hADSC-Exo has the capability to enter the brain



Fig. 1 Identification of the exosome from hADSC and hUCMSC. **a** Morphology of hADSC and hUCMSC. Scale bar = 100 μm. **b** Multiple differentiation potential of hADSC and hUCMSC. Scale bar = 25 or 100 μm. **c** Surface markers profiling of hADSC and hUCMSC. The cells were highly positive for CD105, CD90, and CD73 and were negative for HLA-DR and CD45. **d** By TEM, purified hADSC-Exo and hUCMSC-Exo exhibit cup-like morphologies. **e** Nanoparticle analysis of hADSC-Exo and hUCMSC-Exo and hUCMSC and hUCMSC-Exo and hUCMSC-Exo and hUCMSC and hUC

organoids after 5 min co-culture. After 24 h, implying that hADSC-Exo might have entered the brain organoids (Fig. 3a). To determine the optimal concentration of hADSC-Exo, brain organoids were randomly assigned to four different concentration groups, with the no treatment control group and the other three groups applying

40 μ g/mL, 100 μ g/mL, 200 μ g/mL of hADSC-Exo for one time two days. Diameters of organoids were quantified at 1 d, 12 d, 30 d after co-cultured. Morphological images intuitively revealed that the diameter of the organoid after co-culture with hADSC-Exo for 30d was lower compared to the control group (Additional file 1: Fig. S3a).



Fig. 2 GO and KEGG analysis based on IncRNA-seq data of hADSC-Exo and hUCMSC-Exo. **a** Schematic of experimental design for experiments. Six samples proved for IncRNAs sequencing. Sample from hADSC-Exo2 contaminated sequencing batch were excluded. **b** Volcano diagram of differentially expressed IncRNAs. Red indicates higher-expressed IncRNA expression in hUCMSC-Exo, green indicates higher-expressed IncRNA expression in hADSC-Exo, and black indicates no difference in expression. **c** IncRNA sequencing data qRT-PCR validation. qRT-PCR validation of down-regulated candidate IncRNAs in hUCMSC-Exo (left). qRT-PCR validation of up-regulated candidate IncRNAs in hUCMSC-Exo (right). **d** GO analysis of hADSC-Exo IncRNAs targetgene. **e** KEGG pathway of hADSC-Exo IncRNAs targetgene. **f** GO analysis of hUCMSC-Exo IncRNAs targetgene. **g** KEGG pathway of hUCMSC-Exo IncRNAs targetgene.



Fig. 3 hADSC-Exo treatment inhibits the proliferation of NPC while showing beneficial effects of neurogenesis within brain organoids. **a** PKH26-labeled hADSCs-Exo (red) retention in the site of brain organoids. Scale bar = $50 \mu m$. Nuclei (DAPI; blue). **b**, **c** Immunofluorescence double-staining of formalin-fixed brain organoids sections. **b** Immunofluorescence staining for Pax6 (red), Map2 (green), and DAPI staining (blue fluorescence). **c** Double immunofluorescence for Sox2 (green) and Tuj1 (red). A two-way ANOVA followed by Tukeys multiple comparison test was applied to all data. Error bars represent S.E.M. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01

The co-cultured brain organoids were immunostained for β -Tubulin (Tuj1), glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP), nucleus related antigen (Ki-67), Nestin, recombinant paired box gene 6 (PAX6), Sox2 to test whether the hADSC-Exo affected cell lineage choice (Fig. 3b, c and Additional file 1: Fig. S3b, c). Although there was no significant difference in the ADSC-Exo treated group, a downward trend in the numbers of astrocytes (GFAP⁺) and an upward trend in the number of neurons (Tuj1⁺) compared to the control group was observed (Additional file 1: Fig. S3b). Next, we investigated whether hADSC-Exo can affect the formation of immature and mature neurons. Immunocytochemistry was performed to assess neural progenitor cells (NPCs) proliferation using an NPC-specific marker, PAX6. MAP2, a marker of mature neurons [20]. The numbers of NPC (PAX6⁺) were markedly decreased in the hADSC-Exo treated group, whereas those of mature neurons (MAP2⁺) showed a trend to increase at 100 μ g/mL concentration (Fig. 3b). Similarly, the numbers of NPC (SOX2⁺) were markedly decreased in the 200 μ g/mL hADSC-Exo treated group (Fig. 3c). Those results suggest that hADSC-Exo induces depletion of NPC and promotion of its differentiation, may contribute to alleviating neurodevelopmental disease models. In addition, the next experiment was conducted with an intermediate concentration of 100 μ g/mL.

hADSC-Exo can regulate the expression of neurogenesis and brain inflammation-related genes in BTBR mice

BTBR mice (BTBR T + tf/J) are one of the most widely used ASD inbred strain mouse models (Additional file 1: Fig. S4a-c). Moreover, in the adult brains of BTBR mice, a reduced synaptogenesis was observed which is the critical step in neural network formation (Additional file 1: Fig. S4d). Studies have shown promising efficacy of human amniotic epithelial cells in improving BTBR mice behavior [15, 21]. However, the underlying mechanisms of hADSC-Exo's protective role have not been elucidated. We asked whether hADSC-Exo promotes neurogenesis in BTBR mice. We were firstly labeled with the lipophilic dye PKH26 and then chose intracerebroventricular (ICV) infusion of hADSC-Exo. Before ICV, all the mice were confirmed to be in good physical condition with glossy hair and regular diets. Mice were adapted to the environment for 3 days. After ICV, the mice in the hADSC-Exos group and hADSC-Exos Prok group exhibited the same condition recorded prior to ICV. To investigate the location of hADSC-Exo in BTBR mice brains, we carried out FISH on adult mice brains. Colocalization of hADSC-Exo (red) with Tuj1 (green) revealed that hADSC-Exo can be measured in neurons of the cortex in BTBR mice (Fig. 4a). The retention time of hADSC-Exo in BTBR mice is d=7 days long (Fig. 4b). The expression of ASD marker genes, such as Shank2, Shank2, MeCP2 were examined in BTBR mice. RT-PCR revealed that ASD marker genes were reversed in BTBR mice after hADSC-Exo intervention, and tended to normalize compared with WT (Fig. 4c). The expression of neuron genes, such as Tuj1, GAD67 (GABAergic neuron marker), vGLU1 (glutamatergic neuron marker) were examined in BTBR mice (Fig. 4d). hADSC-Exo improves not only neurogenesis, but also the imbalance between excitatory and inhibitory neurotransmission in BTBR mice brain. Increased expression of GFAP and Iba-1 are the most important markers of astrocyte and microglia activation. There was activation of microglia and astrocytes throughout the brain, resulting in inflammationrelated factors in the brain. An elevated GFAP and Iba-1 expression level, which is considered as the implicating intracerebral inflammation in BTBR mice brain (Fig. 4e). Inflammatory factor levels were detected using RT-PCR for ikB- α , IL-1 β , TNF- α , and IL-6. The hADSC-Exo may effectively improve the intracerebral microenvironment and alleviate the inflammatory condition.

hADSC-Exo treated ameliorate inflammation and promote neurogenesis in PFC regions of BTBR mice brain

To verify whether hADSC-Exo affects astrocyte and microglial cell activation in vivo, we examined the proportions of Tuj1⁺, GFAP⁺ cell and Iba-1⁺ cell in the PFC of mice by immunostaining. While neuron was significantly increased after hADSC-Exo treated, the astrocyte and microglial cell activation were significantly reduced. The percentage of neuron strikingly increased by twofold as compared with non-treated BTBR mice (p=0.00259, Fig. 5a). While the increase in neuron was significant, GFAP⁺ cells dramatically decreased by 1.6fold (p = 0.0048) and Iba-1⁺ cells by twofold in BTBR mice (p < 0.0001, Fig. 5a and b). We asked whether such a cell number increase could be attributed to a reduced apoptotic rate. Immunostaining of the PFC region of the brains of BTBR mice was analyzed for the apoptotic marker, cleaved Caspase-3 (CC-3) [22]. CC-3⁺cells levels decreased in PFC of BTBR mice after hADSC-Exo treated. The addition of hADSC-Exo was able to protect the cells apoptosis and remarkably reduce the rate of apoptotic cells. Meanwhile, vGlu1⁺ cells increased by 2.1fold (p < 0.0001) and GAD67⁺ cells decreased by twofold (p=0.0012) in BTBR mice after hADSC-Exo treatment. Altogether, these results suggested that hADSC-Exo

⁽See figure on next page.)

Fig. 4 The hADSC-Exo treatment demonstrates the ability to modulate the expression of genes associated with neurogenesis and brain inflammation in BTBR mice. **a** Mouse brain localization injection of hADSC-Exos. PKH26-labeled hADSC-Exos co-localized with Tuj1 + neurons. **b** PKH26-labeled hADSC-Exos was localized and injected, and tissues were collected from day 1 to day 7 for immunofluorescence staining. **c**-**f** qRT-PCR. **c** ASD marker gene expression examined by qRT-PCR. **d** Neuron genes expression confirmed by qRT-PCR. **e** Astrocyte and microglia activation genes expression detected by qRT-PCR. **f** Inflammatory genes expression analyzed by qRT-PCR. All data were analyzed by two-way ANOVA followed by Tukeys multiple comparison test. Statistical differences were analyzed by two-way ANOVA followed by Tukeys multiple comparison test. SE.M. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001



Fig. 4 (See legend on previous page.)



Fig. 5 BTBR mice brains treated with hADSC-Exos showed reduced inflammation and increased neurogenesis in the PFC region. **a**–**d** Immunofluorescence double-staining of formalin-fixed mice brain sections. **a** Staining for committed neuronal markers GFAP (green immunofluorescence) and Tuj1 staining (red immunofluorescence) in the PFC is shown, and DAPI staining (blue fluorescence). **b** Iba-1 fluorescence in green and Tuj1 immunofluorescence in red (Texas Red), and DAPI staining (blue fluorescence). **c** Representative immunofluorescence images reveal Tuj1 (green) and CC-3 (red) immunoreactive (Tuj1 +/CC-3+) cells in the PFC region. **d** The red color is vGlut1 staining and the green color is GAD67, and nuclear staining by DAPI staining (blue fluorescence). A two-way ANOVA followed by Tukeys multiple comparison test was applied to all data. Error bars represent S.E.M. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001

administration improved the CNS inflammatory microenvironment and promoted neurogenesis in PFC regions of BTBR mice brain.

hADSC-Exo treated reverses ASD-like behavior in BTBR mice

To further validate the effects of hADSC-Exo on cortical neuronal function, we performed a series of ASD core behavioral tests on BTBR mice (Fig. 6a). Behavior tests were performed 2 weeks following ICV to determine the condition of mice. Proteinase K treated hADSC-Exos were used as negative control [23]. Firstly, we use selfgrooming test to confirm whether hADSC-Exo treatment affects stereotyped repetition behavior. hADSC-Exotreated BTBR mice displayed lower self-grooming time than BTBR mice and tended to normalize compared with C57BL WT mice (Fig. 6b, p = 0.0245). Similarly, in marble burying test, more marbles were buried by BTBR mice than C57BL WT mice. (p < 0.0001). The rescue effect was only observed in the BTBR mice, the hADSC-Exo-treated exhibited fewer marbles than the untreated BTBR mice (p=0.04, Fig. 6c). In conclusion, these results indicate that the stereotyped repetitive behaviors in BTBR mice can be rescued by hADSC-Exo. The ASD individual usually shows atypical impaired visual spatial ability [24]. The new object recognition experiment can be used to evaluate such visual recognition memory [25]. We next evaluated novelty preference of hADSC-Exo-treated BTBR mice by novel-object recognition test and socialization by a three-chambered test. During the novel-object recognition test, BTBR mice exhibited a decreased ability to identify the novel object, as shown by a considerably reduced proportion of time with the novel object to time with the familiar object than was observed in C57BL WT mice (p = 0.039). The large differences in preference for the novel and old objects are no longer present in BTBR mice after hADSC-Exo administration, suggesting an increase in cognitive function (Fig. 6d and Additional file 1: Fig. S5a). Moreover, hADSC-Exo-treated mice exhibited a higher preference index (Time^{new}/ Time^{new} + Time^{old}) compared to non-treated BTBR mice (Fig. 6e, p = 0.025). In the social ability test (threechambered test), we found that C57BL WT mice have an obvious curiosity tendency towards stranger mice, while BTBR mice are not interested in interacting with stranger mice (Additional file 1: Fig. S5b). The sociability of BTBR mice can be rescued by hADSC-Exo (Fig. 6f, p = 0.024). BTBR mice treated with hADSC-Exo showed greater interest in interacting with unfamiliar mice #2 in the social novelty preference test (Fig. 6g, p = 0.001). These data indicated that hADSC-Exo may rescue autism-like behaviors in BTBR mice such as stereotyped behavior, decreased visual-recognition memory, decreased sociability and visual-recognition memory.

The IncRNA IFNGAS1 has been identified as significantly associated with ASD in hADSC-Exo

To identify key genes in ASD, the centrally located hub IncRNAs in ASD-associated key modules were identified at first. ASD-associated lncRNAs obtained from the LncRNA Disease v2.0 (http://www.rnanut.net/ Incrnadisease/), NONCODE (http://www.noncode.org/ index.php), RNADisease (http://www.rnadisease.org/) EVLncRNAs V2.0 (https://www.sdklab-biophysics dzu.net/EVLncRNAs2/) [26-29]. The all lncRNAs were used as the final novel ASD-associated lncRNAs set, which finally resulted in a total of 117 lncRNAs. We also screened the lncRNAs homology between the human and mouse. By overlapping with these lncRNAs, we found that 9 candidate lncRNAs (Fig. 7a). They are DLX6-AS1 (Dlx6os1), EPB41L4A-AS1 (Epb41l4aos), IFNG-AS1 (Ifngas1), MALAT1 (Malat1), MEG3 (Meg3), MIAT (Miat), NEAT1 (Neat1), TUG1 (Tug1) and SNHG3 (Snhg3). The expression of 9 candidate lncRNAs in the hADSC-Exos was determined by qRT-PCR. In 9 candidate lncRNAs, 3 lncRNA-genes expressed higher, such as Epb41l4aos, Ifngas1 and MIAT (Fig. 7b). The expression level of 9 candidate lncRNAs was detected by qRT-PCR in BTBR mice after hADSC-Exos treated. The abnormal expression of lncRNA Ifngas1 and Epb41l4aos recover back to the normal status immediately after hADSC-Exos treated (Fig. 7c). It has been shown that the down-regulation of Epb4114aos (also known as EPB41L4A-AS1) leads to brain aging and neurodegenerative diseases by damaging the synthesis of NAD+ and ATP in the brain [30]. Studies on Epb4114aos have been conducted that are more relevant to cancer research [31]. Human lncRNA IFNG-AS1 (also known as NeST) shares homology with mouse ifngas1 and has two main functions. The development of regulatory T-cells is closely linked to Ifngas1 [32]. Regulatory T cell populations have been demonstrated to be critically involved in ASD. Studies reported the down-expression level of Ifngas1 in ASD patients' whole blood compared with healthy controls [33]. Ifngas1 was expressed in Tuj1⁺ neuron (green) and the presence of the protein in the different subcellular compartments was detected by FISH (Fig. 7d). This suggests that Ifngas1 expression may be associated with the neurogenesis. Ifngas1 was expressed in both the cytoplasm and nucleus (Fig. 7e). Therefore, Ifngas1 was identified as key IncRNA, which may have critical roles in ASD biological networks.



Fig. 6 The administration of hADSC-Exos effectively ameliorates ASD-like behavior in BTBR mice. **a** Timeline of tests for extensive behaviors. **b** Self-grooming test. 10-min'self-grooming test is conducted. **c** Marble burying test. 10-min'marble burying test is conducted. **d**, **e** Novel object preference (NOP) test. Each group was allowed to explore objects in order to test its novelty preference using the novel object preference test. **f**, **g** Three-chambered test. After 3-day training period in which mice were exposed to the unfamiliar mice #1, unfamiliar mice #2 was placed in sociability test session (**f**) and social novelty preference (**g**). Quantified the residence time of the experimental mice in the unfamiliar mice area. Two-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparison test. n = 6-12 mice per group for behavior, and Bars represent mean and error bars S.E.M. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01

Ifngas1 may act as a molecular sponge of miR-21a-3p, thereby negatively regulating Akt signaling pathway

A lot of evidence shows that lncRNAs can be used as miRNAs sponge to regulate the expression of target mRNAs [6]. A majority of ASD brains exhibit a common pattern of miRNAs dysregulation, including miR-21-3p and miR-146a [7, 8]. Previous studies revealed that there were 58 miRNAs differentially expressed in ASD brain compared with those in the healthy brain [34]. We performed RT-PCR in BTBR mice further verify the expression of ASD-associated miRNAs. In contrast, miR-21a-3p expression varied significantly in the cortex of different mice. In the cortex of WT mice, its expression was sevenfold higher than that of BTBR mice (p < 0.0001, Fig. 8a). miR-21a-3p can activate Akt signaling pathway and promote cell proliferation [35]. To determine whether Ifngas1 functions as a molecular sponge for miR-21a-3p in vivo, the double luciferase test was carried out using 293 T cells. The pmir-GLO luciferase reporter vector was constructed from 300 bp sequence that includes the 3'-UTR seed region of Ifngas1. Afterwards, miR-21a-3p mimic was cotransfected with pmirGLO luciferase reporter vector. The levels of Firefly and Renilla luciferase activity were observed, indicating that miR-21a-3p directly targeted Ifngas1 (Fig. 8b). However, the candidate lncRNA Epb4114aos is not the target gene of ASD-related miR-21a-3p (Additional file 1: Fig. S6). To investigate the functional effect of Ifngas1 on neurogenesis, we initially analyzed variations in the morphology of cortical neurons cultured from C57BL mice, BTBR mice and Ifngas1 overexpressed hADSC-Exo-treated BTBR mice (Fig. 8c). After Ifngas1 overexpressed hADSC-Exotreated, cortical neurons cultured from BTBR mice demonstrated significantly enhanced axon growth compared to those cultured from C57BL mice. Apoptosis in BTBR mice cortical neurons were rescued by Ifngas1 (Fig. 8d). Since miR-21a-3p has been reported to be antiapoptotic through PI3K(p110α) /AKT signaling pathway, here, we investigated the effect of Ifngas1/miR-21a-3p axis on AKT, p-AKT, caspase9, BCL-2, BAX. WB showed that the caspase9 increase in BTBR mice was associated with AKT phosphorylation, and that AKT could directly regulate BCL-2 and BAX in the PI3K (p110 α)/AKT signaling pathway, without changes in the total protein level of AKT. Apoptosis is inhibited by Bcl-2, whereas apoptosis is promoted by Bax. The apoptosis is enhanced by the reduced ratio of Bcl-2 to Bax. These results substantiate that hADSC-Exo treatment promoted BTBR mice brain functional recovery by Ifngas1/miR-21a-3p axis to suppress neuronal apoptosis.

Discussion

Compared with other conventional synthesized drug, exosomes have emerged as a promising therapeutic option for neurological diseases due to their ability to cross the blood-brain barrier [36, 37]. For cellular therapy products to be safe and effective, comprehensive characterization, identification of the most relevant critical quality attributes (CQAs), and quality control are necessary. Researchers have devoted substantial efforts to elucidate the biological properties of exosomes and their components and their roles in central nervous system disease, such as AD, Parkinson's disease (PD) and Huntington's disease (HD) [38]. At the same time, several exosome databases have been created. ExoRBase 2.0 database have shown that exosomes in the human biofluids also contain different lncRNA [39]. LncExpDB documents exosomal lncRNAs differentially expressed across diverse biological conditions [40]. EVAtlas houses the expression profiles of ncRNA types in EV samples from human tissues, but not yet for lncRNAs [41]. Exosomes may carry specific lncRNAs and miRNAs from a variety of tissue sources [42]. Understanding the origin of exosomes is essential to gain insight into the prospective applications of human exosomes in neurotherapeutics [43]. However, intervention studies to date on the ASD have not fully paid attention to the origin of exosomes. Here, the two types of widely clinical used hMSCs and their exosome were obtained, and the lncRNA-seq of hADSC-Exo and hUCMSC-Exo was performed. Due to the key words "Olfactory transduction", "Alcoholism" and "Staphylococcus aureus infection" in hADSC-Exo IncRNA-related KEGG analysis, we were reminded of its nervous-immune regulation ability (Fig. 2e). Hence,

(See figure on next page.)

Fig. 7 LncRNA IFNG-AS1 expression was significantly associated with ASD in hADSC-Exo. **a** Venn diagram demonstrating the intersections of genes from the ASD lncRNA set, brain development-related lncRNA set, hADSC-Exo lncRNA set and Homologous lncRNA data. **b** Quantification of the expression levels of nine candidate lncRNAs within hADSC-Exo was performed using qRT-PCR. **c** qRT-PCR technique was employed to detect the expression levels of 9 candidate lncRNAs in BTBR mice subsequent to treatment with hADSC-Exo. **d** Predicting on lncRNA lfngas1-ASD associations in RNADisease database. **e** Combined immunofluorescence experiments of FISH with Tuj1 antibody (green) and lfngas1 (red) show the distribution of lfngas1 in neurons of cortical slices in WT mice. Enlarged images are shown at the bottom of the group. Scale $bars = 100 \mu m$ (upper), 20 μm (lower). Two-way ANOVA as appropriate. By Tukeys multiple comparison test. Three biological replicates were used in the experiments. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01



Fig. 7 (See legend on previous page.)

hADSC-Exo was selected to continue the study. Notably, there were only two biological replicates in hADSC-Exo. One hADSC-Exo 2 sample was excluded from the analysis since it might be contaminated. The Veen analysis shows similar patterns for hADSC-Exo1 and 3 samples (Additional file 1: Fig. S2c and d). LncRNAs intersect in three hUCMSC-Exo samples only 67 lncRNAs (Additional file 1: Fig. S2e). We used the union to do the comparison showed all differentially expressed lncRNA detected in hADSC-Exo and hUCMSC-Exo (Additional file 1: Fig. S2f). This prompts future research with larger samples of MSC-Exo, as the main limitation of our study is the low number of included hADSC-Exo and hUC-MSC-Exo biological duplication. Verification of sequencing data through qRT-PCR is a key step in lncRNA-seq analyses. Among them, some lncRNAs were randomly selected for qRT-PCR, and the qRT-PCR results were consistent with the sequencing data, such as DLX6-AS1 and IFNG-AS1 (Fig. 2c).

Recently, Samir EL Andaloussi et al. investigated the effects of different exosome sources and doses on recipient cells in a systematic manner. They found that a low dose of exosomes produces profound transcriptional changes specific to the exosome cell source, while a high dose of exosomes produces a standardized response. High doses would likely overload the endocytic machinery and do not represent physiological conditions. Low doses would contain the fewest exosome-derived transcripts may not be able to be treated [44]. Their study highlights that standardization and comparable dosages should become common practice in exosome studies. Here, an analysis of bioinformatics-based cell sources was conducted, and doses were selected based on human brain organoids. Hence, we decided to choose an intermediate concentration. Our current study provides support for the standardization and comparable dosages of exosome paradigm to conducting initial clinical research.

The ASD mouse model BTBR mouse model recapitulates many features of the human ASD phenotype, such as GABAergic imbalances. Functional analyses show that the genes differentially expressed in the cerebral cortex of BTBR mice are mainly involved in the following biological processes: "neurological development", "social behavior", etc. [45]. The results of this analysis further demonstrated the similarity between the BTBR mouse model and ASD patients. Notably, in this study, we innovatively explored the efficient of hADSC-Exo in human brain organoid. Findings from human brain organoid and ASD mouse model provide the foundation for subsequent investigations in more complex systems. Due to the lack of approved drugs to treat the symptoms of ASD [5, 46], hADSC-Exo might be a good therapeutic agent in future.

It remains unclear how MSC-Exo contributes to neurogenesis in ASD, despite previous studies investigating its role in the disorder [5, 47, 48]. Elucidation of the mechanisms by which exosomal lncRNA ameliorate ASD-like behavior and neurogenesis is essential for intervention effectiveness. According to Joerger-Messerli et al., EVs derived from human Wharton's jelly MSC (hWJ-MSC)-MSCs may prevent and resolve HI-induced apoptosis in neurons in the neonatal brain by transferring let-7-5p from the EVs [49]. In this study, we illustrated that hADSC-Exos activated the PI3K(p110a)/AKT signaling pathway through the Ifngas1/miR-21a-3p axis. It can ameliorate neurogenesis and ASD-like behavior in BTBR mice. Exosomes contain lncRNAs and many other macromolecules from their source cells, such as proteins, miRNAs, etc. Further investigation of hADSC-Exosmediated neuroprotection and neurogenesis mechanisms will be needed before considering ASD-related clinical practice. Moreover, it may be worth to test MSC-exo effect in ASD by other high-throughput approaches, including LC-MS proteome analysis and single cell sequencing as well. Overall, our findings add further insights into the hADSC-Exo functions in ASD.

⁽See figure on next page.)

Fig. 8 Ifngas1 acts as a molecular sponge regulating miR-21a-3p to down-regulated caspase9 expression, thereby regulating Akt signaling pathway. **a** qRT-PCR. Changes in the expression of miR-21a-3p, miR-18b-5p, miR-10a-5p, miR-130b-5p and other ASD-related miRNAs after hADSC-Exo treated. **b** Firefly luciferase activity was normalized to Renilla luciferase activity (Firefly/Renilla). In 293T cells, Ifngas1 3'-UTR pmirGLO plasmid with miR-21a-3p mimic/negative control or mutant lfngas1 (pmirGLO-lfngas1-MUT) 3'-UTR pmirGLO plasmid with miR-21a-3p mimic/ negative control was co-transfected. **c** Primary cultures of C57BL mice or BTBR mice neurons. BTBR mice neurons co-cultured with hADSC-Exo were transfected with Ifngas1 or NC mimic (negative control). **e** KEGG pathway enrichment diagram. **f**, **g** WB western blot. **f** In the neurons of BTBR mice, caspase9 and BAX levels are increased, while BCL-2 levels are decreased (relative to β -actin), which was related to a decrease in AKT phosphorylation (p-AKT), but the total level of AKT was unchanged. Transfection of Ifngas1 but not NC mimics (negative control) rescued the cortical neurons in BTBR mice. Quantification of AKT, p-AKT, caspase9, BCL-2, BAX, normalized to β -actin levels, and values were plotted. Differences in six protein values were assessed by Tukey's multiple comparison test one-way ANOVA, error bars represent S.E.M. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001



Fig. 8 (See legend on previous page.)

Materials and methods

Ethics statements

All procedures followed the guidelines of the National Health and Medical Research Council of China and received approval from the Animal Ethics Review Committee of Tongji University.

Cell culture

A healthy donor provided written informed consent to the East Hospital Affiliated to Tongji University to obtain the human adipose tissue samples. The ADSC cells in this study were utilized and the cells were serviced under the same conditions as those described in our previous study [17]. Human umbilical cord tissue samples were acquired from the Stem Cell Bank of the East Hospital Affiliated to Tongji University. After obtaining informed consent from the mother and her family, the donor signed a written informed statement. The approval was obtained from the East Hospital Ethical Review Board. All cells were incubated at 37 °C in an incubator with 5% CO2.

Exo isolation and characterization

Exosomes isolated from the cell supernatant of hADSC/ hUCMSC in the same methodology as described previously [50]. The cells were separated from the culture medium by centrifugation at 300g for 10 min. Transfer the supernatant to the new centrifugal tube and centrifuge for 10 min at 2000g, followed by an additional 30 min at 10,000g. The liquid supernatant was then centrifuged at 1,000,000g for 2 h at 4 °C (Additional file 1: Fig. S1). Afterward, exosomes were suspended in $1 \times PBS$ and kept at -80 °C for subsequent experiments.

Exo characterization

The protein in exosome was measured to quantify exosomes. The concentration of Exo was evaluated using the bicinchoninic acid (BCA, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). In Fig. 1f, hADSCs and hUCMSCs samples of whole-cell lysate (WCL) was reserved. The WCL were boiled with SDS-PAGE sample loading buffer, separated by SDS-PAGE, blotted on PVDF membranes. Western Blot with anti-CD9, anti-63 and anti-CD81 is used for identifying exosome. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM, FEI, USA) was used to examine the morphology of the isolated exosomes [51]. Nanosight tracking analysis (NTA, Malvern, USA) was performed to analyze exosome morphology. In order to analyze particle numbers, the Nanoparticle Tracking Analysis (NTA) 3.0 software was used. hADSC-Exo was labeled with PKH26 using the PKH26 Red Fluorescent Cell Linker Mini Kit (Sigma, St Louis, MO, USA). Proteinase K used for chemical disruption of hADSC-Exo (Sigma, St Louis, MO, USA).

Exo IncRNA-seq

The total RNAs were enriched from exosomes of hADSC and hUCMSC were extracted by RiboBio Co., Ltd, Guangzhou, China. Six RNA samples (hADSC-Exo and hUCMSC-Exo) were used in the subsequent analysis. Contaminated sequencing batch of hADSC-Exo2 samples were excluded. Normalized expression levels of the genes between the hADSC-Exo1 and 3 are well correlated with the Pearson correlation coefficient (R) values more than 0.99 (Additional file 1: Fig. S2b and c). In this paper, the differentially expressed lncRNAs were determined by |log2(FoldChange)|>1 and Qvalue < 0.05, with thresholds for up- and down-regulated lncRNAs.

Generation of cerebral organoids

The H9 human embryonic stem cell (H9 ES cell) used in this study was were donated by Professor Ru Zhang from Tongji University. H9 ES cells were cultured on Vitronectin XF^{TM} (stem cell technologies, Canada) with mTeSRTM medium (stem cell technologies, Canada). After getting enough high-quality and low-differentiated H9 ES cells, then using the STEMdiff Cerebral Organoid kit (stem cell technologies, Canada). Briefly, on day 0, H9 ES cells were dissociated into single cells with ACCUTASE[™] (stem cell technologies, Canada) and then suspended in embryoid body (EB) Seeding Medium. Every EB was embedded in 15 µL of Cultrex UltiMatrix (R&D SYSTEMS, USA) and transferred into 6-well ultra-low attachment plate containing 3 mL expansion medium. After 10 d, embedded organoids' culture solution was replaced with maturation medium and organoids were placed on orbital shaker in 37°C incubators at the speed of 65 rpm until day 50 [19]. For exosome co-cultured, based on the reference dose in literature, a concentration gradient is established to determine the optimal concentration [52, 53].

Mice

Adult pairs of BTBR mice were acquired from The Jackson Laboratory (Bar Harbor, Maine) and were subsequently bred. The mice were housed in an SPF animal facility, where they were kept in white plastic enclosures with unlimited access to water and food.

Exo transplantation

At the age of 4 weeks, BTBR male mice were fixed in a stereotactic frame (Ruiwode, Shenzhen, Guangdong Province, China). In the presence of 4% isoflurane, hADSC-exo, 2 μ l per injection site, were injected into the cerebral lateral ventricles bilaterally at 0.5 μ L/min (Hamilton 701N syringe) to the following coordinates (relative to the bregma): anterior–posterior, -1 mm; medial–lateral, \pm 0.8 mm; dorsal–ventral, -1.5 mm. Ten minutes after the needle was inserted, it was withdrawn. Animals

Table 1 Primers information

Gapdh Total RNA qRT-PCR Forward GCTGTCAACGATACGC Map2 Total RNA qRT-PCR Reverse TGAAGGGGTCGTTGAT Map2 Total RNA qRT-PCR Reverse CTCTAAAGAACATCCG MCP2 Total RNA qRT-PCR Reverse CTCTAAAGAACATCCG McP2 Total RNA qRT-PCR Reverse CTCTAAAGAACATCGG McP2 Total RNA qRT-PCR Reverse CCCTTGTCCTACTCTAT GFAP Total RNA qRT-PCR Reverse CCGGAGAGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGG	TACGTAACG
Map2 Total RNA qRT-PCR Reverse TGAAGGGTCGTTGAT Map2 Total RNA qRT-PCR Forward CAATCTTCACATTACC/ MCP2 Total RNA qRT-PCR Reverse CTCTAAAGAACATCGG MCP2 Total RNA qRT-PCR Forward TTCTATTCTGGGCTTTT GFAP Total RNA qRT-PCR Reverse CCCTTGTCTACTCTAT GFAP Total RNA qRT-PCR Forward CGGGAGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGG	
Map2 Total RNA qRT-PCR Forward CAATCTTCACATTACCA McP2 Total RNA qRT-PCR Reverse CTCTAAAGAACATCGG McP2 Total RNA qRT-PCR Forward TTCTATTCTGGGCTTTT qRT-PCR Reverse CCCTTGCTCACTCTAT QRT-PCR Reverse CCCTTGCTACTCTAT GFAP Total RNA qRT-PCR Forward CGGAGAGGGATCACCC qRT-PCR Reverse AGGGAGTGGAGGAGT QRT-PCR Reverse AGGGAGTGGAGGAGT Tuj1 Total RNA qRT-PCR Forward CAGGGATGAGCAGG lba-1 Total RNA qRT-PCR Forward CAGGGTCCAAGTCCACGG lba-1 Total RNA qRT-PCR Forward CAGGGTCCAAGTAGCAGG lba-1 Total RNA qRT-PCR Forward CATCTGGAGTGGGAGAGG lba-1 Total RNA qRT-PCR Forward CATTCTGGAGTGGGAGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGG	CG
MeCP2 Total RNA qRT-PCR Reverse CTCTAAAGAACATCCG GFAP Total RNA qRT-PCR Reverse CCCTTGTCCTACTCTAT GFAP Total RNA qRT-PCR Reverse CGGAGAGGAGTGAGGAGGAGT Tuj1 Total RNA qRT-PCR Reverse AGGGAGTGGAGGAGGAGGAGGAGGAGGAGGAGGAGGAGGA	ACCTCCA
MeCP2 Total RNA qRT-PCR Forward TTCTATTCTGGGCTTTT GFAP Total RNA qRT-PCR Reverse CCCTTGTCCTACTCTAT Tuj1 Total RNA qRT-PCR Reverse AGGAAGTGAAGGAGGAGGAGGAGGAGGAGGAGGAGGAGGA	TCAC
GFAP Total RNA qRT-PCR Reverse CCCTTGTCCTACTCTAT Tuj1 Total RNA qRT-PCR Reverse AGGAGTGGAGGAGGAGGAGGAGGAGGAGGAGGAGGAGGAG	GATTTGT
GFAP Total RNA qRT-PCR Forward CGGAACGCATCACCC qRT-PCR Reverse AGGGAGTGGAGGAGGAGT Tuj1 Total RNA qRT-PCR Forward CAGCGATGAGCACGGG gRT-PCR Reverse CCAGGTTCCAAGTCCA lba-1 Total RNA qRT-PCR Forward CCAGGTTCCAAGTCCA lba-1 Total RNA qRT-PCR Reverse CCAGGTTCCAAGTCCA gRT-PCR Reverse CAGCGATGACGCA QRT-PCR Reverse AATAGCTTTCTGGCA gRT-PCR Reverse GGCTCAGCAGTGGCA QRT-PCR Reverse GGCTCAGCAGTGGCA gRT-PCR Reverse GGCTCAGTCAGCAGTGGCA QRT-PCR Reverse GGCTCAGTCAGTCAGTCAGTGCA gRT-PCR Reverse GGCTCAGTCAGTCAGTGAGTGGCAGTGGCA QRT-PCR Reverse GGGCTCAGTCAGTCAGTCAGTGCAGTGG	GGTTATCA
Tuj1 Total RNA qRT-PCR Reverse AGGGAGTGGAGGAGT Iba-1 Total RNA qRT-PCR Reverse CCAGGTTCCAAGTCCA Iba-1 Total RNA qRT-PCR Forward ATCCCAAGTACAGCAGGAGT Iba-1 Total RNA qRT-PCR Forward ATCCCAAGTACAGCAGGAGT Iba-1 Total RNA qRT-PCR Forward ATCCCAAGTACAGCAGGAGT Syn1 Total RNA qRT-PCR Forward CATTCTGGGATGGGCA GAD67 Total RNA qRT-PCR Forward CGCCTTGGCTTTGAGAGGGCA GAU1 Total RNA qRT-PCR Forward CTCCCCTTTCATTGCAGC VGlut1 Total RNA qRT-PCR Forward CGGTGGCTTCAAGTCAGCAGGGCAGGGCAGGGCAGGGCA	ICTG
Tuji Total RNA qRT-PCR Forward CAGCGATGAGCACGG qRT-PCR Reverse CCAGGTTCCAAGTCCA lba-1 Total RNA qRT-PCR Forward ATCCCAAGTACAGCAC gRT-PCR Reverse AAATAGCTTTCTTGGC gyn1 Total RNA qRT-PCR Forward CATTCTGGGATGGCA gRT-PCR Reverse GGCTCAGCAGTGGCAC gRT-PCR Reverse TCCCCCTTTCATTGCAC vGlut1 Total RNA qRT-PCR Forward CTATGTCTAGCAGCTCAC vGlut2 Total RNA qRT-PCR Reverse TCCCCCTTTCATTGCAC vGlut1 Total RNA qRT-PCR Reverse TCAATGTATTGCTCT 0lig2 Total RNA qRT-PCR Reverse GGGCTCAGTCAGTCAGCA Shank2 Total RNA qRT-PCR Forward GAGCAGCACCGTGAC Shank3 Total RNA qRT-PCR Reverse GGCTCAGCTCAGCAGCACCGTGAC	CATTCG
Iba-1Total RNAqRT-PCR ReverseCCAGGTTCCAAGTCCAIba-1Total RNAqRT-PCR ForwardATCCCAAGTACAGCAGQRT-PCR ReverseAAATAGCTTTCTGGGCSyn1Total RNAqRT-PCR ForwardCATTCTGGGATGGCAAGAD67Total RNAqRT-PCR ReverseGGCTCAGCAGTGGCAAGAD67Total RNAqRT-PCR ReverseGGCTCAGCAGTGGCAAVGlut1Total RNAqRT-PCR ReverseTCCCCCTTTCATTGCAAVGlut1Total RNAqRT-PCR ReverseTCCAATGTATTTGCTCCTOlig2Total RNAqRT-PCR ForwardCGGTGGCTTCAAGTCAAGTCAAShank2Total RNAqRT-PCR ForwardGGGCTCAGCCACCGTGAAShank3Total RNAqRT-PCR ForwardGAGCAGCCACCGTGAA	CATAGAC
Iba-1 Total RNA qRT-PCR Forward ATCCCAAGTACAGCAGCAGCAGCAGCAGCAGCAGCAGCAGCAGCAGCAG	CCAGAATG
Syn1Total RNAqRT-PCR ReverseAAATAGCTTTCTTGGCASyn1Total RNAqRT-PCR ForwardCATTCTGGGATGGGCAqRD67Total RNAqRT-PCR ReverseGGCTCAGCAGTGGCAQRU1Total RNAqRT-PCR ReverseTCCCCCTTTCATTGCAVGlu11Total RNAqRT-PCR ForwardCTATGTCTAGCAGCTTCOlig2Total RNAqRT-PCR ReverseTCAATGTATTTGCTCATOlig2Total RNAqRT-PCR ReverseGGCTCAGCAGTCAGTCAGTCAGTCAGTCAGTCAGTCAGTC	TGATGAGG
Syn1 Total RNA qRT-PCR Forward CATTCTGGGATGGCA qRT-PCR Reverse GGCTCAGCAGTGGCA GAD67 Total RNA qRT-PCR Forward TGCGCTTGGCTTTGGA qRT-PCR Reverse TCCCCCTTTCATTGCAG qRT-PCR Reverse TCCCCCTTTCATTGCAG vGlut1 Total RNA qRT-PCR Forward CTATGTCTAGCAGCTTC VGlut2 Total RNA qRT-PCR Reverse TCAATGTATTTGCTCCT Olig2 Total RNA qRT-PCR Reverse GGGCTCAGTCAGTCAGTCAG Shank2 Total RNA qRT-PCR Reverse GGGCTCAGTCAGTCAGTCAGTCAGTCAGTCAGTCAGTCAG	TGGGGGAC
GAD67Total RNAqRT-PCR ReverseGGCTCAGCAGTGGCATGAD67Total RNAqRT-PCR ForwardTGCGCTTGGCTTTGGAvGlut 1Total RNAqRT-PCR ReverseTCCCCCTTTCATTGCAGvGlut 2Total RNAqRT-PCR ReverseTCAATGTATTGCTCCTOlig2Total RNAqRT-PCR ReverseGGGCTCAGTCAGTCAGTCAGTCAGShank2Total RNAqRT-PCR ReverseGGGCTCAGTCAGTCAGTCAGTCAGTCAGTCAGTCAGTCAG	AGGTCAAG
GAD67 Total RNA qRT-PCR Forward TGCGCTTGGCTTTGGA vGlut 1 Total RNA qRT-PCR Reverse TCCCCCTTTCATTGCAG vGlut 1 Total RNA qRT-PCR Reverse TCATGTCTAGCAGCTTC 0lig2 Total RNA qRT-PCR Reverse TCATGTCTAGCAGCTTC 0lig2 Total RNA qRT-PCR Reverse GGGTGGCTTCAAGTCA 0RT-PCR Reverse GGGCTCAGTCATCTGCC QRT-PCR Reverse GGGCTCAGTCATCTGCC Shank2 Total RNA qRT-PCR Forward GAGCAGCCACCGTGA QRT-PCR Reverse ACCACCGTCTTGTCCTC QRT-PCR Reverse ACCACCGTCTTGTCCTC Shank3 Total RNA QRT-PCR Forward GATGTGCAAACCCGAQ	TATGTCTTAG
vGlut1 Total RNA qRT-PCR Reverse TCCCCCTTTCATTGCAC vGlut1 Total RNA qRT-PCR Forward CTATGTCTAGCAGCTTC qRT-PCR Reverse TCCATGTCTTAGCAGCTTC QRT-PCR Reverse GGGCTCAGTCAGTCAGCCA qRT-PCR Reverse GGGCTCAGTCATCTGC Shank2 Total RNA qRT-PCR Forward GAGCAGCCACCGTGA qRT-PCR Reverse ACCACCGTCTTGTCCT Shank3 Total RNA qRT-PCR Forward GATGTGCAAACCCGAC QRT-PCR Reverse TCCTCTGGTGACTCCC	A
vGlut1 Total RNA qRT-PCR Forward CTATGTCTAGCAGCTTC qRT-PCR Reverse TCAATGTATTTGCTCCT Olig2 Total RNA qRT-PCR Forward CGGTGGCTTCAAGCAGCTAGCAGCTAGCAGCTAGCAGCTAGCAGCTAGCAGCTAGCAGCTAGCAGCTAGCAGCTAGCAGCTAGCAGCAGCCAGC	CTTT
Olig2 Total RNA qRT-PCR Reverse TCAATGTATTTGCTCCT Okara qRT-PCR Forward CGGTGGCTTCAAGTCA qRT-PCR Reverse GGGCTCAGTCATCTGC Shank2 Total RNA qRT-PCR Reverse GRT-PCR Reverse GAGCAGCCACCGTGAT gRT-PCR Reverse ACCACCGTCTTGTCCT Shank3 Total RNA qRT-PCR Forward	CG
Olig2 Total RNA qRT-PCR Forward CGGTGGCTTCAAGTCA qRT-PCR Reverse GGGCTCAGTCATCTGC Shank2 Total RNA qRT-PCR Reverse GAGCAGCCACCGTGAT qRT-PCR Reverse ACCACCGTCTTGTCCTGC Shank3 Total RNA qRT-PCR Forward GATGTGCAAACCCGAQ oRT-PCR Reverse TCCTCTGGTGACTTCGC	
shank2 Total RNA qRT-PCR Reverse GGGCTCAGTCATCTGC Shank3 Total RNA qRT-PCR Forward GAGCAGCCACCGTGAT gRT-PCR Reverse ACCACCGTCTTGTCCT Shank3 Total RNA qRT-PCR Forward GATGTGCAAACCCGAC	ATCTTCCTC
Shank2 Total RNA qRT-PCR Forward GAGCAGCCACCGTGA qRT-PCR Reverse ACCACCGTCTTGTCCT Shank3 Total RNA qRT-PCR Forward GATGTGCAAACCCGAQ oRT-PCR Reverse TCCTCTGGTGACTTCCC	TTCTTGTC
shank3 Total RNA qRT-PCR Reverse ACCACCGTCTTGTCCT gRT-PCR Reverse GATGTGCAAACCCGAC gRT-PCR Reverse TCCTCTGGTGACTTCCC	IGATGAC
Shank3 Total RNA qRT-PCR Forward GATGTGCAAACCCGAC	CAATAATGC
	GACTCTGAG
	GCTCTTC
miR-21a-3p miRNA gRT-PCR Forward CAACAGCAGTCGATGC	GGCT
miR-18b-5p miRNA gRT-PCR Forward TAAGGTGCATCTAGTG	CTGTTAG
miR-10a-5p miRNA gRT-PCR Forward TACCCTGTAGATCCGA	ATTTGTG
miR-155-5p miRNA gRT-PCR Forward TTAATGCTAATTGTGAT	AGGGGT
miR-130b-5p miRNA gRT-PCR Forward ACTCTTTCCCTGTTGC/	ACTACT
let-7q-3p miRNA qRT-PCR Forward ACTGTACAGGCCACTG	GCCTTGC
miR-218-2-3p miRNA gRT-PCR Forward CATGGTTCTGTCAAGC	ACCGCG
miR-874-3p miRNA gRT-PCR Forward CTGCCCTGGCCCGAG	GGACCGA
miR-107-5p miRNA gRT-PCR Forward AGCTTCTTTACAGTGT	TGCCTTG
miR-129-2-3p miRNA gRT-PCR Forward AAGCCCTTACCCCAA	AAGCAT
miR-425-3p miRNA gRT-PCR Forward ATCGGGAATGTCGTGT	CCGCC
miR-23a-3p miRNA gRT-PCR Forward ATCACATTGCCAGGGA	TTTCC
miR-363-3p miRNA gRT-PCR Forward AATTGC ACGGTATCCA	ICTGTA
miR-491-5p miRNA gRT-PCR Forward AGTGGGGAACCCTTCC	ATGAGG
miR-103-3p miRNA gRT-PCR Forward AGCAGCATTGTACAGO	GCTATGA
miR-199a-5p miRNA gRT-PCR Forward CCCAGTGTTCAGACTA	CCTGTTC
1/6 miRNA gRT-PCR Forward CGCTTCGGCAGCACA	TATAC
U6 miRNA aRT-PCR Reverse AATTTGCGTGTCATCCT	TGC
mmu-Malat1 IncRNA gRT-PCR Forward GCGAGCAGGCATTGTC	GAGAG
aRT-PCR Reverse GCCGACCTCAAGGAA	IGTTACCG
mmu-Dly6os1 LpcRNA gRT-PCR Forward CTGAAGACTGACTGAC	GETEGAAG
	TCTCTGG
mmu-lfnaas1 LincRNA oRT-PCR Forward GGAGGCTAGTGTCTGC	ATGTTGTTG
	TGAACTC
mmu-Miat I pcRNA aRT-PCR Forward TTTCCCTTTCTGGTCTC	$ \cdots \cdots$

Table 1 (continued)

Gene name	Gene type	Experiment	Primer (5'-3')
		qRT-PCR Reverse	CCGCCATCATCCAAGCCGTTAG
mmu-Snhg3	LncRNA	qRT-PCR Forward	TCGCTCTCTTGGTGTGCTTGTTC
		qRT-PCR Reverse	CCCCGCTGATTCTCTTCTTCCTC
mmu-Epb41l4aos	LncRNA	qRT-PCR Forward	GGGCGGGAATAAAGCGAAGACC
		qRT-PCR Reverse	GGACACCCTTTAACCCACTCTGTG
mmu-TUG1	LncRNA	qRT-PCR Forward	TTCCTACCACCTTACTACTGACG
		qRT-PCR Reverse	GGAGGTAAAGGCCACATC
mmu-MEG3	LncRNA	qRT-PCR Forward	TTGCAAGGGGCAAGGACTCTC
		qRT-PCR Reverse	TCATGTCGTCGGTTGGAAAGG
mmu-NEAT1	LncRNA	qRT-PCR Forward	GTTCCGTGCTTCCTCTTCTG
		qRT-PCR Reverse	CAGGGTGTCCTCCACCTTTA
hsa-MALAT1	LncRNA	qRT-PCR Forward	CCAGGTGCTACACAGAAGTGGAT
		qRT-PCR Reverse	GCTTGCTCGCTTGCTCCTCAG
hsa-DLX6-AS1	LncRNA	qRT-PCR Forward	TTCAAGCGATTCTCCTGCCTCAAG
		qRT-PCR Reverse	CAATGTGGCGAAACCCCGTCTC
hsa-IFNG-AS1	LncRNA	qRT-PCR Forward	AAGCCCACCAACTGCTAACAACC
		qRT-PCR Reverse	ACCCTTCAAAGACTTTCCCAACTGG
hsa-MIAT	LncRNA	qRT-PCR Forward	ACTAACTCCTGCCTTCCTGGTCTG
		qRT-PCR Reverse	CCAGCCATGCCGACATCCAAG
hsa-SNHG3	LncRNA	qRT-PCR Forward	TGCCTCAGCCTCCCAAGTAGC
		qRT-PCR Reverse	TGGGCGGATCACGAGGTCAG
hsa-EPB41L4A-AS1	LncRNA	qRT-PCR Forward	TCGGTCCCTCACTGGCACTTC
		qRT-PCR Reverse	CAGGCTTCCGTCCCACAATGC
hsa-NEAT1	LncRNA	qRT-PCR Forward	CCAGTGTGAGTCCTAGCATTGC
		qRT-PCR Reverse	CCTGGAAACAGAACATTGGAGAAC
hsa-TUG1	LncRNA	qRT-PCR Forward	ACCGGAGGAGCCATCTTGTC
		qRT-PCR Reverse	GAAAGAGCCGCCAACCGATC
hsa-MEG3	LncRNA	qRT-PCR Forward	TGGCATAGAGGAGGTGAT
		qRT-PCR Reverse	GGAGTGCTGTTGGAGAATA

were also treated with 0.3% gentamicin for 3 days around transplantation in order to suppress any possible immune response [54]. The behavioral experiment was done 2 weeks after the last treatment.

Behavioral studies

Repetitive behavior was analyzed by a self-grooming test, in short, the mouse were kept in an empty cage $(30 \times 30 \times 29.5 \text{ cm})$ for 10 min, during which time their self-grooming behavior was recorded [42].

Anxiety-like behavior was analyzed by marble-burying test, in short, twenty clean marbles (d=14 mm) were evenly distributed on the surface of the corncob cushion $(29 \times 18 \times 13.5 \text{ cm})$ at a depth of 5 cm. After 30 min of acclimatization in the test room, the mice were then placed in the test cage containing the marbles. The marbles were counted after 30 min of exploration [55].

The New Object Recognition test was executed in the opaque walled box $(30 \times 30 \times 29.5 \text{ cm})$. The mouse was

given 10 min to explore the arena without any objects before the adaptive test. Then, two familiar objects were fixed in the box and the mouse could explore two familiar objects for 10 min during the adaptive session. In the test session, a familiar object was changed by a new one, the mouse could explore all the objects for 10 min. The time spent by the mice exploring the novel object was analyzed and recorded. Recognition index=novel object recognition time/total object recognition time [56].

As previously described, the three-chamber test was employed to measure sociability behaviors [57]. In essence, the apparatus comprised three interconnected chambers with entryways. The mice explored the threechambered apparatus for 10 min as part of the adaptation task. Afterward, the mice were allowed to interact either with an empty wire mesh cylinder or an unfamiliar mice#1 called stranger1. Mice were then able to explore the three rooms freely for 10 min. Following that, another mouse, unfamiliar mice#2 were placed in a opposite chamber, which was empty in the previous session, for the social novelty preference test. Finally, the time spent in the different chamber was counted.

Immunohistochemistry (IHC)

Histological examinations were conducted on three mice from each group at random, IHC assay was performed as previously described [58]. Anti-ki67 (1:200, Abcam, UK, ab16667), anti-GFAP (1:1000, Abcam, UK, ab7260), anticleaved-caspase-3 antibody (1:500, Servicebio, GB11532), anti-Tuj1 (1:1000, Abcam, UK, ab7751) anti-Nestin (1:2000, Abcam, UK, ab221660), anti-vGlut1 (1:100, Biolegend, MMS-5245), anti-Iba-1 (1:500, Servicebio, GB11105), anti-GAD67 (1:500, Abcam, UK, ab13508). The aforementioned primary antibodies and secondary antibodies were used.

Histology

After BTBR mice were euthanized, the hearts, lungs, kidneys, testes, brains, livers and spleens were dissected. The HE staining was carried out on tissue samples from at least three mice of each genotype [59]. Nissl staining was performed by incubating slides with 0.1% Nissl dye for 10 min [60]. To quantify hippocampal neurons, Golgi-Cox staining was used. The slides were first immersed in 1:1 hydrochloric acid and 100% ethanol for 2 h, then washed under running water [61].

Dual-luciferase reporter gene assay

To generate the miR-21a-3p target site-containing 3'-UTR sequence of lncRNA Ifngas1, the overexpressing plasmid was used. A 1.5% agarose gel was used to purify the DNA fragments. Using XbaI enzyme-digested vectors pGL3-Control (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) to insert downstream of the luciferase gene [62]. The 293T cells, at 80–90% confluence, were co-transfected with lncRNA Ifngas1 3'-UTR and miR-21a-3p mimic. In vitro transfection was carried out with Xfect Transfection Reagent (Takara Bio, USA). In vivo transfection was performed using in vivo-jetPEI[®] reagent (Polyplus-transfection SA, France).

Real-time PCR (qRT-PCR)

A MiRNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) was used to extract miRNA and total RNA from tissues and cells. SYBR[®] Premix Ex TaqTM II Kit (RR820A, Takara Bio, Japan) was used to perform qRT-PCR. Primers were listed in Table 1 (Sangon Biotech, Shanghai, China). The quantitative PCR analysis was performed using an ABI7500 instrument (ABI Company, Oyster Bay, NY, USA).

Western blot (WB)

WB was conducted following the methodology described in a previous publication [47]. The following antibodies were applied: Rabbit Anti-CD9 antibody (1:1000, Abcam, UK, ab92726), Rabbit Anti-CD63 antibody (1:1000, Abcam, UK, ab134045), Rabbit Anti-CD81 antibody (1:1000, Abcam, UK, ab109201), Rabbit Anti-Calnexin antibody (1:1000, Abcam, UK, ab22595), Rabbit Anti-Caspase-9 antibody (1:1000, Abcam, UK, ab22595), Rabbit Anti-Phospho-AKT(Ser473) antibody (1:1000, Absin, abs130002), Rabbit Anti-AKT antibody (1:1000, CST, Beverly, MA, USA, 4685S), Rabbit Anti-BAX antibody (1:8000, Proteintech, 50599-2-Ig), Mouse Anti-Bcl2 antibody (1:2000, Proteintech, 68103-1-Ig), Mouse Anti-GAPDH antibody (1:1000, Servicebio, GB15002). Using Odyssey Infrared Imaging System, the original images of the membranes were recorded and analyzed according to the ECL WB Protocol (Bio-Rad, Milan, Italy).

Data analysis

The statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism. In the figure legends, data were presented as the mean \pm standard deviation (S.D.) or \pm standard error of the mean (S.E.M.). A *p* value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Adobe Illustrator CC software and Figdraw (https://www.figdraw.com/static/index.html) were used to draw the chart for the specific mechanism of hADSC-Exo treatment of ASD.

Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi. org/10.1186/s12951-024-02338-2.

Additional file 1: Fig. S1. The exosomes of hADSC and hUCSC were extracted by ultrahigh speed gradient centrifugation. Fig. S2. IncRNA microarray data of hADSC-Exo and hUCSC-Exo. a Schematic diagram of IncRNA sampling, 2 hADSC-Exo simples and 3 hUCSC-Exo samples, b Sample correlation heat map. Correlations were performed using Pearsons correlation analysis. c Different IncRNA expression profiles among samples from the RNA sequencing data shown by heat map. d Wayne diagram of the differential proteins among the experimental samples. e-f Venn map showing the intersection of hADSC-Exo IncRNA and hUCMSC-Exo IncRNA hUCMSC-Exo includes 729 IncRNAs, while hADSC-Exo includes 13,915 IncRNAs, encompassing all the IncRNAs found in hUCMSC-Exo. Fig. S3. Imaging of brain organoids after coculture with hADSCs-Exo. a Co-culture was treated with various does of hADSCs-Exo (40, 100 and 200 μ M). Representative co-culture pictures are shown at Day1, Day12 and Day30. Scale bar = 500 μ m. Organoid diameter after co-culture with the different doses of brain organoids at varied concentrations, b and c Immunofluorescence double-staining of formalin-fixed mice brain sections. b GFAP fluorescence in green and Tuj1 immunofluorescence in red (Texas Red), and DAPI staining (blue fluorescence). c Nestin immunofluorescence (green) and Ki-67 immunofluorescence (red) shown with DAPI (blue) stained nuclei. Fig. S4. BTBR mice were observed for the appearance of neurological signs. a The observational finding between BTBR mice and WT mice included epilation. A typical picture of BTBR mice with epilation symptoms at 6 months of age. b Adult BTBR mice also present higher weight compared to WT. c No abnormality was observed in other organs. d Abnormal synaptic growth and/or development and indirectly impair neurogenesis homeostasis in BTBR mice. Fig. S5. Representative pictures

of behavior tests. a Representative pictures of novel object preference test (NOP). b Representative pictures of three-chambered test. **Fig. S6.** Firefly luciferase activity was normalized to Renilla luciferase activity (Firefly/ Renilla). In 293T cells, Epb4114aos 3'-UTR pmirGLO plasmid with miR-21a-3p mimic/negative control or mutant Epb4114aos (pmirGLO-Ifngas1-MUT) 3'-UTR pmirGLO plasmid with miR-21a-3p mimic/negative control was co-transfected.

Acknowledgements

We thank the Base of Stem Cell Translational Medicine of Shanghai East Hospital for providing umbilical cord and adipose tissue samples.

Author contributions

JZ and JZ mainly designed and led the process of the project. YF, YL-Z, RQ-L, MM-X JL-X and XL-Z performed the experiments. YF, YL-Z, MM-X, and GM-X analyzed the data. YF, YT-H and XM-Z performed statistical analysis. YF, RQ-L and WT-Z wrote the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (81771417 and 31371379) and the China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (2022M722409).

Data availability statement

The datasets used and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Declarations

Competing interests

The authors have declared that no competing interest exists.

Author details

¹Research Center for Translational Medicine at East Hospital, School of Medicine, Tongji University, Shanghai 200010, China. ²Research Center for Translational Medicine at East Hospital, School of Life Science and Technology, Tongji University, Shanghai 200010, China. ³Key Laboratory of Spine and Spinal Cord Injury Repair and Regeneration of Ministry of Education, Orthopaedic Department of Tongji Hospital, School of Medicine, Tongji University, Shanghai 200065, China. ⁴Shanghai Institute of Stem Cell Research and Clinical Translation, Shanghai 20092, China. ⁵Department of Pathology, Air Force Medical Center, Beijing 100142, China.

Received: 15 August 2023 Accepted: 9 February 2024 Published online: 17 February 2024

References

- Mizuno M, Endo K, Katano H, Amano N, Nomura M, Hasegawa Y, Ozeki N, Koga H, Takasu N, Ohara O, et al. Transplantation of human autologous synovial mesenchymal stem cells with trisomy 7 into the knee joint and 5 years of follow-up. Stem Cells Transl Med. 2021;10:1530–43.
- Zhang Y, Huang X, Sun T, Shi L, Liu B, Hong Y, Fu QL, Zhang Y, Li X. MicroRNA-19b-3p dysfunction of mesenchymal stem cell-derived exosomes from patients with abdominal aortic aneurysm impairs therapeutic efficacy. J Nanobiotechnol. 2023;21:135.
- Zhu W, Sun L, Zhao P, Liu Y, Zhang J, Zhang Y, Hong Y, Zhu Y, Lu Y, Zhao W, et al. Macrophage migration inhibitory factor facilitates the therapeutic efficacy of mesenchymal stem cells derived exosomes in acute myocardial infarction through upregulating miR-133a-3p. J Nanobiotechnol. 2021;19:61.
- Yari H, Mikhailova MV, Mardasi M, Jafarzadehgharehziaaddin M, Shahrokh S, Thangavelu L, Ahmadi H, Shomali N, Yaghoubi Y, Zamani M, et al. Emerging role of mesenchymal stromal cells (MSCs)-derived

exosome in neurodegeneration-associated conditions: a groundbreaking cell-free approach. Stem Cell Res Ther. 2022;13:423.

- Perets N, Oron O, Herman S, Elliott E, Offen D. Exosomes derived from mesenchymal stem cells improved core symptoms of genetically modified mouse model of autism Shank3B. Mol Autism. 2020;11:65.
- Wu YE, Parikshak NN, Belgard TG, Geschwind DH. Genome-wide, integrative analysis implicates microRNA dysregulation in autism spectrum disorder. Nat Neurosci. 2016;19:1463–76.
- Nguyen LS, Fregeac J, Bole-Feysot C, Cagnard N, Iyer A, Anink J, Aronica E, Alibeu O, Nitschke P, Colleaux L. Role of miR-146a in neural stem cell differentiation and neural lineage determination: relevance for neurodevelopmental disorders. Mol Autism. 2018;9:38.
- Lu J, Zhu Y, Williams S, Watts M, Tonta MA, Coleman HA, Parkington HC, Claudianos C. Autism-associated miR-873 regulates ARID1B, SHANK3 and NRXN2 involved in neurodevelopment. Transl Psychiatry. 2020;10:418.
- Hosseini E, Bagheri-Hosseinabadi Z, De Toma I, Jafarisani M, Sadeghi I. The importance of long non-coding RNAs in neuropsychiatric disorders. Mol Aspects Med. 2019;70:127–40.
- de Lima TA, Zuanetti PA, Nunes MEN, Hamad APA. Differential diagnosis between autism spectrum disorder and other developmental disorders with emphasis on the preschool period. World J Pediatr. 2023;19:715–26.
- Qin L, Williams JB, Tan T, Liu T, Cao Q, Ma K, Yan Z. Deficiency of autism risk factor ASH1L in prefrontal cortex induces epigenetic aberrations and seizures. Nat Commun. 2021;12:6589.
- Arranz MJ, Salazar J, Bote V, Artigas-Baleri A, Serra-LLovich A, Triviño E, Roige J, Lombardia C, Cancino M, Hernandez M, et al. Pharmacogenetic interventions improve the clinical outcome of treatment-resistant autistic spectrum disorder sufferers. Pharmaceutics. 2022;14:999.
- Fan Y, Chen Z, Zhang M. Role of exosomes in the pathogenesis, diagnosis, and treatment of central nervous system diseases. J Transl Med. 2022;20:291.
- 14. Pistollato F, Forbes-Hernández TY, Calderón Iglesias R, Ruiz R, Elexpuru Zabaleta M, Cianciosi D, Giampieri F, Battino M. Pharmacological, nonpharmacological and stem cell therapies for the management of autism spectrum disorders: a focus on human studies. Pharmacol Res. 2020;152: 104579.
- Zhang R, Cai Y, Xiao R, Zhong H, Li X, Guo L, Xu H, Fan X. Human amniotic epithelial cell transplantation promotes neurogenesis and ameliorates social deficits in BTBR mice. Stem Cell Res Ther. 2019;10(1):153.
- Segal-Gavish H, Karvat G, Barak N, Barzilay R, Ganz J, Edry L, Aharony I, Offen D, Kimchi T. mesenchymal stem cell transplantation promotes neurogenesis and ameliorates autism related behaviors in BTBR Mice. Autism Res. 2016;9(1):17–32.
- 17. Zhou Y, Zhao B, Zhang XL, Lu YJ, Lu ST, Cheng J, Fu Y, Lin L, Zhang NY, Li PX, et al. Combined topical and systemic administration with human adipose-derived mesenchymal stem cells (hADSC) and hADSC-derived exosomes markedly promoted cutaneous wound healing and regeneration. Stem Cell Res Ther. 2021;12:257.
- Du S, Guan Y, Xie A, Yan Z, Gao S, Li W, Rao L, Chen X, Chen T. Extracellular vesicles: a rising star for therapeutics and drug delivery. J Nanobiotechnol. 2023;21:231.
- Velasco S, Kedaigle AJ, Simmons SK, Nash A, Rocha M, Quadrato G, Paulsen B, Nguyen L, Adiconis X, Regev A, et al. Individual brain organoids reproducibly form cell diversity of the human cerebral cortex. Nature. 2019;570:523–7.
- Bae M, Hwang DW, Ko MK, Jin Y, Shin WJ, Park W, Chae S, Lee HJ, Jang J, Yi HG, et al. Neural stem cell delivery using brain-derived tissue-specific bioink for recovering from traumatic brain injury. Biofabrication. 2021;13: 044110. https://doi.org/10.1088/1758-5090/ac293f.
- van de Wouw M, Walsh CJ, Vigano GMD, Lyte JM, Boehme M, Gual-Grau A, Crispie F, Walsh AM, Clarke G, Dinan TG, et al. Kefir ameliorates specific microbiota-gut-brain axis impairments in a mouse model relevant to autism spectrum disorder. Brain Behav Immun. 2021;97:119–34.
- Alonso-Miguel D, Valdivia G, Guerrera D, Perez-Alenza MD, Pantelyushin S, Alonso-Diez A, Beiss V, Fiering S, Steinmetz NF, Suarez-Redondo M, et al. Neoadjuvant in situ vaccination with cowpea mosaic virus as a novel therapy against canine inflammatory mammary cancer. J Immunother Cancer. 2022;10: e004044.

- 23. Zhang J, Song H, Dong Y, Li G, Li J, Cai Q, Yuan S, Wang Y, Song H. Surface engineering of HEK293 cell-derived extracellular vesicles for improved pharmacokinetic profile and targeted delivery of IL-12 for the treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma. Int J Nanomed. 2023;18:209–23.
- Griffin JW, Bauer R, Scherf KS. A quantitative meta-analysis of face recognition deficits in autism: 40 years of research. Psychol Bull. 2021;147:268–92.
- Tan Y, Singhal SM, Harden SW, Cahill KM, Nguyen DM, Colon-Perez LM, Sahagian TJ, Thinschmidt JS, de Kloet AD, Febo M, et al. Oxytocin receptors are expressed by glutamatergic prefrontal cortical neurons that selectively modulate social recognition. J Neurosci. 2019;39:3249–63.
- 26. Bao Z, Yang Z, Huang Z, Zhou Y, Cui Q, Dong D. LncRNADisease 2.0: an updated database of long non-coding RNA-associated diseases. Nucleic Acids Res. 2019;47:D1034–7.
- Rigden DJ, Fernández XM. The 2021 Nucleic Acids Research database issue and the online molecular biology database collection. Nucleic Acids Res. 2021;49:D1–9.
- Chen J, Lin J, Hu Y, Ye M, Yao L, Wu L, Zhang W, Wang M, Deng T, Guo F, et al. RNADisease v4.0: an updated resource of RNA-associated diseases, providing RNA-disease analysis, enrichment and prediction. Nucleic Acids Res. 2023;51:D1397–404.
- Jin J, Lu P, Xu Y, Li Z, Yu S, Liu J, Wang H, Chua NH, Cao P. PLncDB V2.0: a comprehensive encyclopedia of plant long noncoding RNAs. Nucleic Acids Res. 2021;49:D1489–95.
- Yang T, Wang Y, Liao W, Zhang S, Wang S, Xu N, Xie W, Luo C, Wang Y, et al. Down-regulation of EPB41L4A-AS1 mediated the brain aging and neurodegenerative diseases via damaging synthesis of NAD+ and ATP. Cell Biosci. 2021;11(1):192.
- Liao M, Liao W, Xu N, Li B, Liu F, Zhang S, Wang Y, Wang S, Zhu Y, et al. LncRNA EPB41L4A-AS1 regulates glycolysis and glutaminolysis by mediating nucleolar translocation of HDAC2. EBioMedicine. 2019;41:200–13.
- Petermann F, Pękowska A, Johnson CA, Jankovic D, Shih HY, Jiang K, Hudson WH, Brooks SR, Sun HW, Villarino AV, et al. The magnitude of IFN-γ responses is fine-tuned by DNA architecture and the non-coding transcript of Ifng-as1. Mol Cell. 2019;75:1229-1242.e5.
- Fallah H, Sayad A, Ranjbaran F, Talebian F, Ghafouri-Fard S, Taheri M. IFNG/ IFNG-AS1 expression level balance: implications for autism spectrum disorder. Metab Brain Dis. 2020;35:327–33.
- Chen YJ, Chen CY, Mai TL, Chuang CF, Chen YC, Gupta SK, Yen L, Wang YD, Chuang TJ. Genome-wide, integrative analysis of circular RNA dysregulation and the corresponding circular RNA-microRNA-mRNA regulatory axes in autism. Genome Res. 2020;30:375–91.
- Zhou Y, Yang Y, Liang T, Hu Y, Tang H, Song D, Fang H. The regulatory effect of microRNA-21a-3p on the promotion of telocyte angiogenesis mediated by PI3K (p110a)/AKT/mTOR in LPS induced mice ARDS. J Transl Med. 2019;17:427.
- Beatriz M, Rodrigues RJ, Vilaça R, Egas C, Pinheiro PS, Daley GQ, Schlaeger TM, Raimundo N, Rego AC, Lopes C. Extracellular vesicles improve GABAergic transmission in Huntington's disease iPSC-derived neurons. Theranostics. 2023;13:3707–24.
- Huda MN, et al. Potential use of exosomes as diagnostic biomarkers and in targeted drug delivery: progress in clinical and preclinical applications. ACS Biomater Sci Eng. 2021;7(6):2106–49.
- Su L, Li R, Zhang Z, Liu J, Du J, Wei H. Identification of altered exosomal microRNAs and mRNAs in Alzheimer's disease. Ageing Res Rev. 2022;73: 101497.
- Lai H, Li Y, Zhang H, Hu J, Liao J, Su Y, Li Q, Chen B, Li C, Wang Z, et al. exoRBase 2.0: an atlas of mRNA, IncRNA and circRNA in extracellular vesicles from human biofluids. Nucleic Acids Res. 2022;50:D118–28.
- Li Z, Liu L, Jiang S, Li Q, Feng C, Du Q, Zou D, Xiao J, Zhang Z, Ma L. LncExpDB: an expression database of human long non-coding RNAs. Nucleic Acids Res. 2021;49:D962–8.
- Liu CJ, Xie GY, Miao YR, Xia M, Wang Y, Lei Q, Zhang Q, Guo AY. EVAtlas: a comprehensive database for ncRNA expression in human extracellular vesicles. Nucleic Acids Res. 2022;50:D111–7.
- van de Wakker SI, Meijers FM, Sluijter JPG, Vader P. Extracellular vesicle heterogeneity and its impact for regenerative medicine applications. Pharmacol Rev. 2023. https://doi.org/10.1124/pharmrev.123.000841.

- Li M, Fang F, Sun M, Zhang Y, Hu M, Zhang J. Extracellular vesicles as bioactive nanotherapeutics: an emerging paradigm for regenerative medicine. Theranostics. 2022;12:4879–903.
- Hagey DW, Ojansivu M, Bostancioglu BR, Saher O, Bost JP, Gustafsson MO, Gramignoli R, Svahn M, Gupta D, et al. The cellular response to extracellular vesicles is dependent on their cell source and dose. Sci Adv. 2023;9(35): eadh1168.
- Daimon CM, Jasien JM, Wood WH 3rd, Zhang Y, Becker KG, Silverman JL, Crawley JN, Martin B, Maudsley S. Hippocampal transcriptomic and proteomic alterations in the BTBR mouse model of autism spectrum disorder. Front Physiol. 2015;6:324.
- Martinowich K, Das D, Sripathy SR, Mai Y, Kenney RF, Maher BJ. Evaluation of Nav1.8 as a therapeutic target for Pitt Hopkins Syndrome. Mol Psychiatry. 2023;28(1):76–82.
- Perets N, Hertz S, London M, Offen D. Intranasal administration of exosomes derived from mesenchymal stem cells ameliorates autistic-like behaviors of BTBR mice. Mol Autism. 2018;9:57.
- Perets N, Segal-Gavish H, Gothelf Y, Barzilay R, Barhum Y, Abramov N, Hertz S, Morozov D, London M, Offen D. Long term beneficial effect of neurotrophic factors-secreting mesenchymal stem cells transplantation in the BTBR mouse model of autism. Behav Brain Res. 2017;331:254–60.
- Joerger-Messerli MS, Oppliger B, Spinelli M, Thomi G, di Salvo I, Schneider P, Schoeberlein A. Extracellular vesicles Derived from Wharton's jelly mesenchymal stem cells prevent and resolve programmed cell death mediated by perinatal hypoxia-ischemia in neuronal cells. Cell Transplant. 2018;27(1):168–80.
- Zhao B, Zhang X, Zhang Y, Lu Y, Zhang W, Lu S, Fu Y, Zhou Y, Zhang J, Zhang J. Human exosomes accelerate cutaneous wound healing by promoting collagen synthesis in a diabetic mouse model. Stem Cells Dev. 2021;30:922–33.
- Giovannelli L, Bari E, Jommi C, Tartara F, Armocida D, Garbossa D, Cofano F, Torre ML, Segale L. Mesenchymal stem cell secretome and extracellular vesicles for neurodegenerative diseases: Risk-benefit profile and next steps for the market access. Bioact Mater. 2023;29:16–35.
- Gupta D, Zickler AM, El Andaloussi S. Dosing extracellular vesicles. Adv Drug Deliv Rev. 2021;178: 113961.
- Rajan TS, Giacoppo S, Diomede F, Ballerini P, Paolantonio M, Marchisio M, Piattelli A, Bramanti P, Mazzon E, et al. The secretome of periodontal ligament stem cells from MS patients protects against EAE. Sci Rep. 2016;6:38743.
- Chen S, Peng J, Sherchan P, Ma Y, Xiang S, Yan F, Zhao H, Jiang Y, Wang N, Zhang JH, et al. TREM2 activation attenuates neuroinflammation and neuronal apoptosis via PI3K/Akt pathway after intracerebral hemorrhage in mice. J Neuroinflamm. 2020;17:168.
- Chen W, Cai ZL, Chao ES, Chen H, Longley CM, Hao S, Chao HT, Kim JH, Messier JE, Zoghbi HY, et al. Stxbp1/Munc18-1 haploinsufficiency impairs inhibition and mediates key neurological features of STXBP1 encephalopathy. Elife. 2020;9: e48705.
- 56. Ellegood J, Petkova SP, Kinman A, Qiu LR, Adhikari A, Wade AA, Fernandes D, Lindenmaier Z, Creighton A, Nutter LMJ, et al. Neuroanatomy and behavior in mice with a haploinsufficiency of AT-rich interactive domain 1B (ARID1B) throughout development. Mol Autism. 2021;12:25.
- Fu Y, Zhou Y, Zhang YL, Zhao B, Zhang XL, Zhang WT, Lu YJ, Lu A, Zhang J, Zhang J. Loss of neurodevelopmental-associated miR-592 impairs neurogenesis and causes social interaction deficits. Cell Death Dis. 2022;13:292.
- Mao Z, Xiao H, Shen P, Yang Y, Xue J, Yang Y, Shang Y, Zhang L, Li X, Zhang Y, et al. KRAS(G12D) can be targeted by potent inhibitors via formation of salt bridge. Cell Discov. 2022;8:5.
- Qian H, Lei T, Hua L, Zhang Y, Wang D, Nan J, Liu W, Sun Y, Hu Y, Lei P. Fabrication, bacteriostasis and osteointegration properties researches of the additively-manufactured porous tantalum scaffolds loading vancomycin. Bioact Mater. 2023;24:450–62.
- Fang Y, Wang X, Lu J, Shi H, Huang L, Shao A, Zhang A, Liu Y, Ren R, Lenahan C, Tang J, Zhang J, Zhang JH, Chen S. Inhibition of caspase-1-mediated inflammasome activation reduced blood coagulation in cerebrospinal fluid after subarachnoid haemorrhage. EBioMedicine. 2022;76: 103843.

- Lonnemann N, Hosseini S, Marchetti C, Skouras DB, Stefanoni D, D'Alessandro A, Dinarello CA, Korte M. The NLRP3 inflammasome inhibitor OLT1177 rescues cognitive impairment in a mouse model of Alzheimer's disease. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2020;117:32145–54.
- Chu H, Shuai H, Hou Y, Zhang X, Wen L, Huang X, Hu B, Yang D, Wang Y, Yoon C, et al. Targeting highly pathogenic coronavirus-induced apoptosis reduces viral pathogenesis and disease severity. Sci Adv. 2021;7: eabf8577.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.