RESEARCH

Open Access

Humanized bispecific antibody (mPEG × HER2) rapidly confers PEGylated nanoparticles tumor specificity for multimodality imaging in breast cancer



Yi-An Cheng^{1,12†}, Tung-Ho Wu^{2†}, Yun-Ming Wang³, Tian-Lu Cheng^{1,4,5,12†}, I-Ju Chen^{1,12}, Yun-Chi Lu^{1,12}, Kuo-Hsiang Chuang⁶, Chih-Kuang Wang¹³, Chiao-Yun Chen^{7,8}, Rui-An Lin⁴, Huei-Jen Chen⁴, Tzu-Yi Liao⁴, En-Shuo Liu¹ and Fang-Ming Chen^{9,10,11,12*}

Abstract

Background: Developing a universal strategy to improve the specificity and sensitivity of PEGylated nanoaparticles (PEG-NPs) for assisting in the diagnosis of tumors is important in multimodality imaging. Here, we developed the antimethoxypolyethylene glycol (mPEG) bispecific antibody (BsAb; mPEG × HER2), which has dual specificity for mPEG and human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2), with a diverse array of PEG-NPs to confer nanoparticles with HER2 specificity and stronger intensity.

Result: We used a one-step formulation to rapidly modify the nanoprobes with mPEG x HER2 and optimized the modified ratio of BsAbs on several PEG-NPs (Lipo-DiR, SPIO, Qdot and AuNP). The α HER2/PEG-NPs could specifically target MCF7/HER2 cells (HER2⁺⁺) but not MCF7/neo1 cells (HER2^{+/-}). The α HER2/Lipo-DiR and α HER2/SPIO could enhance the sensitivity of untargeted PEG-NPs on MCF7/HER2 (HER2⁺⁺). In in vivo imaging, α HER2/Lipo-DiR and α HER2/Lipo-DiR and α HER2/SPIO increased the specific targeting and enhanced PEG-NPs accumulation at 175% and 187% on 24 h, respectively, in HER2-overexpressing tumors.

Conclusion: mPEG × HER2, therefore, provided a simple one-step formulation to confer HER2-specific targeting and enhanced sensitivity and contrast intensity on HER2 positive tumors for multimodality imaging.

Keywords: Bispecific antibody, PEGylated nanoparticle, Contrast agent, Multimodality image, Polyethylene glycol, Anti-PEG antibody, One-step formulation, Tumor specificity, Cancer image

Introduction

Non-invasive imaging for *in vivo* tracking of the location and size of tumors is very important in cancer therapy and diagnostics. Optical imaging (OI) is relatively

*Correspondence: fchen@kmu.edu.tw

¹¹ Department of Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, College of Medicine, Kaohsiung Medical University, No.100, Shin-Chuan 1st Road, Sanmin Dist, Kaohsiung, Taiwan inexpensive and robust for all kinds of molecular and cellular processes in small animals, but clinical applications are hindered by limited depth penetration [1]. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) has spectacular resolution and is particularly well suited for examining non-bony parts and soft tumors (e.g. breast, brain, etc.) in the clinic, but imaging sensitivity is inferior to nuclear techniques [2]. Nuclear imaging is characterized by high sensitivity, but suffers from poor spatial and temporal resolution [3]. Thus, development of multimodality imaging protocols can help overcome the limitations of single imaging



© The Author(s) 2020. This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/

[†]Yi-An Cheng, Tung-Ho Wu contributed equally to this work

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

modalities [4]. Many nano-contrast agents have been developed such as liposomes, microbubbles, superparamagnetic iron oxide (SPIO), AuNP and so on [5]. Most contrast agents are modified with methoxy-polyethylene glycol (mPEG) as PEG-NPs, which can enhance the biocompatibility and half-life of nanoparticles. However, the water-solubility of mPEG reduces the cell uptake of nanoparticles, thus, the PEG-NPs were reported to just passively accumulate in tumor site via the enhanced permeability and retention (EPR) effect that did not increase the cell uptake of nanoparticles in tumor cells [6], thereby limiting the sensitivity and signal intensity of PEG-NPs [7]. Therefore, active tumor-targeting and cell uptake of PEG-NPs is important to enhance the sensitivity for targeted diagnostics [8].

In order to provide tumor specificity to the PEG-NPs, the anti-tumor antibodies, ligands and peptides were conjugated with nanoprobes to form targeted contrast agents [9–12]. Freedman et al. showed that chemical conjugation of liposomal gadopentetate dimeglumine with anti-transferrin receptor scFv could increase the pixel intensity of small lung cancers (100 mm) in MRI images compared untargeted liposomes [8]. Chemical conjugation of anti-HER2/EGFR bispecific antibody to SPIO significantly enhanced the relative contrast enhancements in SKBR-3 tumors (HER2⁺⁺⁺) as compared to colo-205 tumors (HER2⁻) at 24 h post-injection [13]. However, the chemical conjugation of the functional groups of antibodies to PEG-NPs caused antibody dysfunction, because the coupling site blocks the antigen-binding site of antibody and chemical reagents alter the protein structure. Protein adaptors, such as protein G, biotin and streptavidin, have been developed to non-covalently modify nanoparticles for stabilizing the structure of antibody. For example, streptavidin was used as an adaptor to connect the biotinylated anti-CD45RO antibody and biotinylated PEGylated lipid nanoparticles for selective targeting into memory T cells [14]. Protein G (IgG-binding b2 domain) was conjugated to gold nanoparticles with anti-HER2 antibody for specific targeting to HER2 overexpressing breast cancer [15]. Nevertheless, using exogenous adaptors, which induce immunogenicity, is not allowed in the human body, leading to reducing the half-life of PEG-NPs and limiting the rapid development of molecular imaging in clinic. Thus, developing a modification method which is simple, convenient and has low immunogenicity for universal contrast materials is important to improve the tumor specificity and sensitivity of targeted PEG-NPs.

We previously established humanized bispecific antibody (BsAb; mPEG \times HER2) which can bind to the terminal methoxy groups present on PEG chains surrounding PEGylated drugs to confer HER2-binding specificity to nanoparticles. Humanized BsAbs can provide non-covalent modification as a simple one-step formulation on PEG-NPs [16]. In this study, we investigated whether multiple PEG-NPs (liposome, SPIO, Qdot and AuNP) could be modified by mPEG \times HER2. Additionally, we examined the specific targeting and sensitivity of HER2-targeted nanoparticles in HER2 positive cancer cells using non-invasive imaging. For in vivo imaging, the signal intensity of HER2-targeted Lipo-DiR and SPIO were analyzed on HER2 positive tumors and HER2 negative tumors. This one-step formulation of PEG-NPs with mPEG \times HER2 is a simple method to confer HER2specific targeting and enhanced sensitivity and contrast intensity on HER2 positive tumors for multimodality diagnostic imaging (Fig. 1).

Results

One-step formulation of PEGylated nanoparticles with mPEG x HER2

To demonstrate whether the bispecific antibody (BsAb) could modify the PEG-NPs, we used mPEG × HER2 which was constructed from humanized bispecific antibodies by fusing the anti-mPEG Fab and anti-HER2 scFv via peptide linker $(G4S)_3$, and the mPEG \times DNS as a negative control which was created by exchanging the anti-HER2 scFv with an anti-DNS scFv, which binds the small chemical hapten dansyl. Frist, we quantified the mPEG concentration on PEG-NPs (Lipo-DiR, SPIO, Qdot and AuNP) by mPEG antibody-based sandwich ELISA [17], which uses the anti-PEG backbone antibody as a capture antibody and the anti-methoxy PEG antibody as a detection antibody. And then, different amounts of mPEG × HER2 were mixed with Lipo-DiR, SPIO, Qdot and AuNP, respectively, and the BsAb to mPEG modification ratio (BsAb:mPEG; mol:mol) was 64:360 to 1:360. The α HER2/PEG-NPs were quantified to the unconjugated BsAb to determine the BsAb conjugation rate of PEG-NPs. The aHER2/PEG-NPs were added to the mPEG-coated ELISA plates and then sequentially treated with anti-human Fab-HRP antibody and ABTS substrate to detect the unconjugated BsAbs. The BsAb conjugation ratio of aHER2/PEG-NPs was calculated by total number of BsAbs minus number of unconjugated BsAbs then divided by total number of BsAbs. The conjugation ratio of α HER2/PEG-NPs (Lipo-DiR, SPIO, Qdot and AuNP) was above 84.7 to 99% whereas Lipo-DiR and AuNP were observed in the precipitate in the highest BsAb:mPEG ratio group at 24 h incubation at 4 °C (Additional file 1: Table S1). We further compared the binding ability of the different BsAb:mPEG ratios of α HER2/PEG-NPs to MCF7/HER2 cells. The aHER2/PEG-NPs and aDNS/ PEG-NPs (Lipo-DiR, SPIO, Qdot and AuNP) were incubated with MCF7/HER2 (HER2⁺⁺) cells, and then the bound PEG-NPs were detected by using the anti-PEG



backbone antibody. We chose the optimized BsAb:mPEG ratio of PEG-NPs, which had higher binding efficiency to HER2, of BsAb on Lipo-DiR, SPIO, Qdot and AuNP was 4:360, 64:360, 4:360 and 8:360, respectively (Fig. 2). We further checked the physical characteristics of aHER2/ PEG-NPs and PEG-NPs (Lipo-DiR and SPIO) by dynamic light scattering. The particle size of α HER2/PEG-NP was slightly greater than that of PEG-NPs (Lipo-DiR: 96.6 nm versus 90.4 nm; SPIO: 100.5 nm versus 95.1 nm). The zeta potential of aHER2/PEG-NP was similar to that of PEG-NPs (Lipo-DiR: -10.6 mA versus -10.13 mA; SPIO: -5.28 mA versus -5.18 mA). The polydispersity index (PDI) values for all particles were around 0.1 (Additional file 1: Table S2). The result indicates that mPEG \times HER2 conjugation did not alter the physical characteristics of PEG-NPs. The results indicated that the BsAb could modify the diverse PEG-NPs by a simple one-step method.

The specificity of $\alpha \text{HER2/PEG-NPs}$ on HER2-overexpressing cells

To examine the tumor specificity of optimized targeted PEG-NPs, we used MCF7/HER2 cells which express high levels of HER2, and MCF7/neo1 cells with low levels of HER2. The α HER2/PEG-NPs and α DNS/PEG-NPs (Lipo-DiR, SPIO, Qdot and AuNP) were incubated with MCF7/HER2 (HER2⁺⁺) cells or MCF7/neo1 (HER2^{+/-}) cells, and then the mPEGs of PEG-NPs were detected by

using the anti-PEG backbone antibody, anti-mouse IgG Fc-HRP and ABTS. As shown in Fig. 3, the absorbance value of α HER2/PEG-NPs (Lipo-DiR, SPIO, Qdot and AuNP) was gradually increased as compared with α DNS/PEG-NPs. We demonstrated that mPEG × HER2 could confer HER2 specificity to the diverse PEG-NPs by one-step formulation.

The sensitivity of aHER2/PEG-NPs on HER2-expressing cells We wanted to know whether the one-step formulation of PEG-NPs with mPEG × HER2 improves their sensitivity to HER2⁺⁺ tumor cells. For optical imaging, we used Lipo-DiR as the near-infrared fluorescence liposome mixed with BsAbs. The various concentrations of α HER2/ Lipo-DiR, aDNS/Lipo-DiR, or Lipo-DiR were incubated with MCF7/HER2, and then the fluorescence of DiR was detected by IVIS imaging. We calculated the relative fluorescence intensity by dividing the ROI of Lipo-DiR to the cells alone. As shown in Fig. 4a, the fluorescence intensity of aHER2/Lipo-DiR was significantly stronger than that of αDNS/Lipo-DiR, or Lipo-DiR. At high concentrations, the fluorescence intensity of αHER2/Lipo-DiR was 1.6 fold higher than Lipo-DiR. At low concentrations, α HER2/Lipo-DiR was still 1.2 fold higher than Lipo-DiR (Fig. 4b). For MR imaging, aHER2/SPIO, aDNS/SPIO or SPIO was incubated with MCF7/HER2 and the SPIO accumulation was examined by MRI. As shown in Fig. 4c, d, the strong MR signals as visualized by a darker color



were only observed for α HER2/SPIO. After calculation, α HER2/SPIO still had 1.75 fold higher signal intensity (SI) at low concentration (1.5 µg/ml) whereas the signal of α DNS/SPIO and SPIO was undetectable. The results showed that the one-step formulation of mPEG × HER2 could enhance the image intensity of the PEG-NPs. Additionally, the detectable concentration of the α HER2/PEG-NPs is significantly lower than that of the untargeted PEG-NPs.

The tumor delivery of $\alpha \text{HER2/Lipo-DiR}$ to HER2-expressing tumors

To investigate whether mPEG × HER2 can enhance tumor accumulation of PEGylated liposomal DiR (Lipo-DiR) in HER2 over-expressing tumors, we first mixed mPEG × HER2 and mPEG × DNS with Lipo-DiR to form α HER2/Lipo-DiR and α DNS/Lipo-DiR. Mice bearing MCF7/HER2 (HER2⁺⁺, in right m.f.p) and MCF7/ neo1 (HER2^{+/-}, in left m.f.p) tumors were intravenously injected with α HER2/Lipo-DiR, Lipo-DiR and α DNS/ Lipo-DiR, and then the fluorescence of DiR was detected by IVIS imaging at 24 h, 48 h and 72 h. The fluorescence signal of α HER2/Lipo-DiR was enhanced in MCF7/ HER2 (HER2⁺⁺) tumors as compared to MCF7/neo1 (HER2^{+/-}) tumors from 24 h to 72 h after probe injection (Fig. 5a). We quantified the relative region of interest (ROI) by the average ROI of MCF7/HER2 divided by that of MCF7/neo1. The relative ROI of α HER2/Lipo-DiR at 24 h was 1.75-fold (3.21×10^8 versus 1.86×10^8) whereas Lipo-DiR and α DNS/Lipo-DiR produced 1.1-fold (1.18×10^8 versus 1.07×10^8) and 1.01-fold (0.76×10^8 versus 0.75×10^8), respectively (Fig. 5b). Moreover, the ROI of α HER2/Lipo-DiR was higher than Lipo-DiR and α DNS/Lipo-DiR. The results indicate that mPEG × HER2 can enhance specific targeting and tumor accumulation of PEG-NPs in HER2-overexpressing tumors.

Tumor accumulation and contrast intensity of αHER2/SPIO on HER2-expressing tumors

To examine the in vivo tumor accumulation of α HER2/ SPIO in HER2-positive tumors by MR imaging, α HER2/ SPIO or α DNS/SPIO were intravenously injected into mice bearing subcutaneous MCF7/HER2(HER2⁺⁺) tumors and MCF7/HER2(HER2^{+/-}). The mean signal intensity (SI) was detected by T2-weighted fast spin-echo



sequence 7.0 T imaging for every 3 mm sectioning thickness at 0 h and 24 h post-injection time. The percentage of negative contrast enhancement was calculated as the SI₂₄ minus the SI₀ divided by the SI₀. The negative contrast enhancements of α HER2/SPIO were -32.8% (MCF7/HER2) and -17.5% (MCF7/neo1) whereas those of α DNS/SPIO were -23.8% (MCF7/HER2) and -23.3% (MCF7/neo1) (Fig. 6a). We calculated the relative contrast enhancements in MCF7/HER2 tumors as compared to MCF7/neo1. The relative contrast enhancements of α HER2/SPIO were 187%, higher than the 102% of α DNS/SPIO (Fig. 6b). The results indicate that mPEG × HER2 can enhance specific targeting and accumulation of PEG-NPs in HER2-overexpressing tumors.

Discussion

We have successfully demonstrated that anti-mPEG BsAbs (mPEG × HER2) could be conjugated to PEG-NPs by a one-step process to confer HER2 specificity on MCF7/HER2 (HER2-expressing cells) for multimodality imaging. Without changing the structure of nanoparticles, the PEG-NPs (liposome, Qdot, AuNP and SPIO)

were enhanced with HER2 targeting by modification at an optimized mPEG × HER2-conjugation ratio. In non-invasive imaging, α HER2/Lipo-DiR and α HER2/ SPIO could enhance the intensity of the image signal on MCF7/HER2 tumors in MRI imaging, as compared with untargeted PEG-NPs. Due to the one-step modification, the anti-mPEG BsAb did not change the structure of the nanoparticles. This strategy can be easily applied to a diverse array of PEG-NPs for multimodality imaging. Moreover, the tumor specificity of anti-mPEG BsAbs can also be changed for different markers for corresponding diseases. The anti-mPEG BsAbs can confer tumor targeting to a variety of PEG-NPs. This technique can accelerate the development of targeted PEG-NPs to give more accurate diagnoses.

Developing a universal strategy to confer tumor specificity to each PEG-NPs is important for multimodality imaging. Thus, many studies have focused on developing bifunctional proteins as a universal antibody-conjugation method suitable for any PEG-NPs. For example, Hussain, et al. generated the EGFR × SNAP bifunctional protein by fusing anti-EGFR scFv and SNAP tag, which allowed



covalent attachment of O⁶-benzylguanine (BG) modified dendritic polyglycerol doxorubicin conjugates (PG-Doxo) via the disulfide bond. The anti-EGFR-PG-Doxo resulted in a tenfold increase of uptake into EGFR-positive cancer cells compared with untargeted PG-Doxo [18]. Moreover, Schneider et al. developed VEGFR2 × Dig bispecific antibodies, which endowed the digoxigenin-modified CD31 siRNA liposome (Dig-LNP-siCD31) with VEGFR2 specificity, and anti-VEGFR2-LNP-siCD3 decreased the expression of CD31 RNA about two-fold compared with LNP-siCD31 alone in MCF-7 tumors [19]. However, BG and Dig haptens are not approved for human use by the FDA, leading to a limit in the clinical application of targeted PEG-NPs. We developed the universal bispecific antibody (mPEG × HER2), which can directly modify naïve PEG-NPs and simultaneously confer HER2 targeting. Methoxy-PEG hapten has been approved by the FDA for human use to modify nanoprobes, such as SPIO, AuNP and microbubbles, to improve the biocompatibility and half-life of PEG-NPs in vivo. Our results showed that a one-step formulation of mPEG × HER2 BsAbs with multiple PEG-NPs (liposome, Qdot, AuNP and SPIO) could enhance the HER2 targeting ability of PEG-NPs to HER2-positive tumor cells compared with untargeted



PEG-NPs. Thus, anti-mPEG BsAbs provide an easy, universal and direct formulation for any naive PEG-NPs without chemical modification which can accelerate the development of targeted PEG-NPs for multimodality imaging in the clinic.

Developing an easy method to produce targeted PEG-NPs against the various disease-associated markers is important for imaging. Chemical modification of antibodies on nanoparticles is currently a common method to confer targeting of a disease [20, 21]. For example, Paulis et al. coupled the anti-ICAM-1antibody to N-succinimidyl S-acetylthioacetate (SATA) to generate a free thiol group for conjugating with the maleimide-PEG-liposomal MRI contrast agent (L), and they proved that the binding of anti-ICAM-1 L on bEnd.5 endothelial cells could be twofold higher than that of L to monitor the inflammation-related ICAM-1expression on blood vessels [22]. Chen, et al. generated anti-HER2 scFv containing the azide group for conjugating with the amine group of Cy5-dots to form anti-HER2 Cy5-dots, and the tumor uptake of anti-HER2 Cy5-dots had a 2.4 fold increase compared with untargeted dots in BT474 breast tumor-bearing mice [23]. However, the chemical conjugations of the antibody were random and multi-step leading to heterogeneous orientations of antibody. Furthermore, the process was time consuming [24]. Additionally, the chemical method is not universal and needs to be redesigned for different PEG-NPs. Our strategy used mPEG × HER2 to confer PEG-NPs (Lipo-DiR and SPIO) with HER2 specificity by one-step modification. Compared with untargeted nanoprobes, the cell imaging showed that the contrast intensity of aHER2/Lipo-DiR and $\alpha HER2/SPIO$ was increased 1.6-fold and 1.75 fold on MCF7-HER2 cells, respectively. In addition, we demonstrated that α HER2/Lipo-DiR and α HER2/ SPIO enhances accumulation in MCF7-HER2 tumors (HER2^{++}) by 175% and 186% in mice compared with MCF7/neo1 tumor (HER2^{+/-}). Moreover, the HER2 portion of the mPEG × HER2 can be changed to other disease-associated markers such as EGFR, PSMA, CD20, TfR for development of varied mPEG \times marker BsAbs to easily confer PEG-NPs with different specificity. Therefore, mPEG × markers may provide an easy method to change the specificity of targeted PEG-NPs for imaging of various diseases.

Developing a tumor-targeted contrast agent with low immunogenicity is important to allow repeat



administration of the probe in humans. Protein linkers, such as streptavidin-biotin or protein A, are commonly used to provide non-covalent modification to conjugate the antibodies on PEG-NPs. Jin et al. conjugated protein A on quantum dots to attach anti-CXCR4 antibody for tracking the location of CXCR4 receptors in living cells [25]. However, protein A is an exogenous protein from bacteria that may cause a risk of immunogenicity [26]. Paganelli et al. reported streptavidin as a linker to link the biotinylated αCEA antibody and biotinylated radioactive ¹¹¹In tracker to increase the tumor accumulation of $^{111}\mbox{In-}\alpha\mbox{CEA}$ antibody in CEA-positive patients, but 63% of patients had the anti-streptavidin antibody [27]. The study indicated that exogenous proteins may cause the immunogenicity in humans, limiting the application of targeted PEG-NPs in the clinic [28, 29]. Humanization of antibodies has been approved by FDA to reduce the immunogenicity of antibodies from non-human species, and one-half (38/78) of antibodies in clinical use are humanized [30, 31]. To analyze the immunogenicity of humanized BsAb, we co-cultured the dendritic cells differentiated from human PBMCs with autologous CD4 + T cells and stimulated with mPEG × HER2 and mPEG \times DNS, respectively, for 5 days. Then, we detected the proliferation of CD4⁺ T cells by ATPlite assay (Additional file 1: Figure S1). The result indicated that there was no significant difference of CD4 + T cell proliferation between mPEG × HER2treated, $mPEG \times DNS$ -treated, and control group, indicating the humanized BsAbs may be expected to have low immunogenicity. This result corresponds with other studies that the humanization of antibodies

can reduce the immunogenicity of antibodies from non-human species [31, 32]. Harding et al. demonstrated that comparing with the chimeric anti-EGFR antibody, the humanized anti-EGFR antibody could reduce the proliferation of CD4⁺ helper T cells [33]. Thus, the humanized anti-mPEG BsAbs may have low immunogenicity and be suitable for wide use in clinical imaging. Moreover, PEGylation of PEG-NPs could also reduce the immunogenicity of the contrast agent to prolong the half-life of contrast agents in the human body. Thus, the low immunogenicity of humanized BsAbs can confer PEG-NPs with tumor specificity by a one-step formulation and allow repeat administration of probes in humans.

Conclusions

mPEG × HER2 provided a simple one-step method to conjugate PEG-NPs to confer HER2-specific targeting and enhanced sensitivity and contrast intensity on HER2 positive tumors for multimodality imaging. The BsAbs described here possess potential advantages for targeted imaging including: (i) anti-mPEG BsAbs could one-step modify PEG-NPs with homogeneous coupling orientations to enhance the specificity and sensitivity of imaging probes. (ii) The changeable properties and universal applicability of BsAbs can direct diverse PEG-NPs to different biomarkers expressed in various diseases for diagnosis. (iii) The humanized anti-mPEG BsAbs with low immunogenicity are suitable for direct human use. We believe that the one-step formulation of PEG-NPs with anti-mPEG BsAbs could accelerate the targeted imaging development to provide the accurate diagnoses in the clinic.

Materials and methods

PEGylated nanoparticles

PEGylated DOPC/CHOL Liposomes labeled with DiR (Lipo-DiR) were purchased from FormuMax Scientific (Sunnyvale, CA, USA). Superparamagnetic iron oxide (SPIO, MnMEIO- mPEG NPs) was from Prof. Yun-Ming Wang (National Chiao Tung University, Hsinchu, Taiwan). Qtracker 655 non-targeted quantum dots (Qdot) were purchased from Thermo Fisher Scientific (Waltham, USA). Gold nanoparticles (AuNP, AuNCs-PLGA-mPEG) were from Prof. Chih-Kuang Wang (Kaohsiung Medical University, Kaohsiung, Taiwan).

Cells and animals

MCF7/HER2 and MCF7/neo1 human breast adenocarcinoma cells line were grown in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium/nutrient mixture F-12 (DMEM/ F12, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Roskilde-Denmark) supplemented with 10% (vol/vol) fetal bovine serum (FBS, Thermo, Waltham, MA, USA), 1% (vol/vol) penicillin/ streptomycin (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) at 37 °C in an atmosphere of 5% (vol/vol) CO₂ in air. Three to fourweek-old BALB/cAnN.Cg-Foxn1nu/CrlNarl nude mice were purchased from the National Laboratory Animal Center, Taipei, Taiwan. Animal experiments were performed in accordance with institute guidelines.

Bispecific antibodies and antibodies

Human bispecific antibodies were created by linking the C-terminus of an anti-methoxy PEG Fab (clone h15-2b [34]) to an anti-HER2 scFv or anti-DNS scFv via a flexible peptide (GGGGS)3 to form mPEG × HER2 and mPEG × DNS, respectively. The anti-HER2 scFv was constructed by linking the 4D5 VH and VL domains with a linker (GGGGS)3; the detailed description of BsAbs was as described in a previous study [16]. The VL-C κ and VH-CH1-linker-scFv domains were separated with an IRES in the pLNCX retroviral vector (BD Biosciences, San Diego, CA) in the unique Hind III and Cla I restriction enzyme sites to generate pLNCX-mPEG × HER2 and pLNCX-mPEG × DNS plasmids. Expi-293 cells were transfected with plasmids and the culture medium was collected after five days. The BsAbs were purified by affinity chromatography on gel prepared by reacting 36 mg of O-(2-Aminoethyl)-O'olyethylene glycol 750 (Sigma Aldrich) per gram of CNBr-activated Sepharose 4B (GE Healthcare, Little Chalfont, UK).

Bi-functional assay of mPEG x HER2 and mPEG x DNS

Ninety-six well plates were coated with 50 μ g/ml of poly-D-lysine in PBS for 5 min at 37 °C, washed twice with deuterium depleted water and then coated with 2×10^5 cells/ well of MCF7/HER2 (HER2⁺⁺) cancer cells. To fix cells, paraformaldehyde (2%, vol/vol) was added, left for 5 min then neutralized by 0.1 M glycine. mPEG × HER2 or mPEG × DNS (10 μ g/ml) were added to the wells at room temperature for 30 min. After extensive washing, 10 μ g/ml of mPEG2K-BSA was added to the wells for 30 min. After extensive washing, the bound concentrations of mPEG2K-BSA were determined by adding 10 μ g/ml of 6–3 anti-PEG backbone antibody for 30 min and then adding 0.4 μ g/ml of goat anti-mouse IgG Fc-HRP (Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories). The wells were washed and then ABTS substrate was added for 30 min before absorbance values at 405 nm were measured in a microplate reader (Biochrom, St Albans, United Kingdom).

One-step formulation of PEG-NPs with BsAbs

PEG-NPs (Lipo-DiR, SPIO, Qdot and AuNP) were mixed with mPEG × HER2 in PBS at 4 °C for 5 min to form α HER2/PEG-NPs, respectively. The BsAb:mPEG molar ratios of α HER2/PEG-NPs was 64:360 with twofold serial dilution to 1:360. To quantify the unconjugatd BsAb in α HER2/PEG-NPs, the particles with different BsAb:mPEG ratios were incubated in mPEG2K-BSA coated 96-well plates at RT for 45 min. After extensive washing, the BsAb was detected by 0.4 µg/ml of goat antihuman Fab-HRP, and then ABTS substrate was added for 30 min before absorbance values at 405 nm were measured by EZ Read 400 ELISA. The BsAb-conjugation rate of α HER2/PEG-NPs was calculated as the total number of BsAb minus number of unconjugated BsAb, then divided by the total number of BsAb.

Specificity of α HER2/PEG-NPs for HER2⁺⁺ cells

To examine the ability of PEG-NPs (Lipo-DiR, SPIO, Qdot and AuNP) modified with various ratios of mPEG \times HER2 to bind to cancer cells expressing HER2, MCF7/HER2 cells and low HER2 expression cells, MCF7/ neo1 $(2 \times 10^5$ cell/well) were seeded in poly-D-lysinecoated ninety-six well plates overnight at 37 °C. After fixing the cells, α HER2/PEG-NPs made with the various densities of BsAb on PEG-NPs were added to the wells at RT for 20 min. After extensive washing with PBS, the bound concentrations of PEG-NPs were determined by sequentially adding 10 µg/mL of 6-3 anti-PEG antibody for 1 h, washing with DMEM three times, and then adding 0.4 μ g/mL of goat anti-mouse IgG Fc-HRP. The wells were washed three times with PBS and then ABTS substrate was added for 30 min before absorbance values at 405 nm were measured in EZ Read 400 ELISA. To further analyze HER2 specific targeting efficacy of optimized BsAb-modified PEG-NPs (Lipo-DiR, SPIO, Qdot and

AuNP), serial dilutions of α HER2/PEG-NPs and α DNS/ PEG-NPs were incubated with MCF7/HER2 cells in poly-D-lysine coated 96-well plates. PEG-NPs binding was measured as described above.

Fluorescence imaging of α HER2/Lipo-DiR in vitro and in vivo

MCF7/HER2 (5 × 10⁶/well) cells were incubated with α HER2/Lipo-DiR, α DNS/Lipo-DiR or Lipo-DiR. After washing with PBS three times, cells were imaged with an IVIS spectrum optical imaging system (excitation, 750 nm; emission, 780 nm; PerkineElmer, Waltham, MA). BALB/c nude mice bearing MCF7/HER2 (HER2⁺⁺) and MCF7/neo1 (HER2^{+/-}) tumors (~100 mm³) in the mammary fat pad (m.f.p) were intravenously injected with α HER2/Lipo-DiR and Lipo-DiR (DiR concentration: 10 nmole per mouse), respectively. The mice were imaged on an IVIS spectrum optical imaging system at 24, 48 h and 72 h after injection. The regions-of-interest (ROI) in the tumor areas were drawn and analyzed with Living Image software version 4.2 (Caliper Life Sciences); radiant efficiency was calculated by [p/s/cm²/sr]/[µW/cm²].

MR imaging of aHER2/SPIO in vitro and in vivo

MCF7/HER2 cells $(5 \times 10^6 \text{ cell/well})$ were incubated aHER2/SPIO, aDNS/SPIO or SPIO were added to the tubes and incubated at 37 °C for 1 h. After washing with PBS three times, cells precipitated at the bottom of the Eppendorf tube and were then imaged with 7T MRI (7T PharmaScan, Bruker) TR/TE, 3000/65 ms; echo train length, 10; flip angle, 150° ; field of view, 6 cm × 6 cm; slice thickness, 1 mm; interslice gap, 0.1 mm (10% of slice thickness); and matrix, 192×192 . BALB/c nude mice bearing MCF7/HER2 (HER2⁺⁺) and MCF7/neo1 (HER2^{+/-}) tumor (~100 mm³) were injected intravenously with aHER2/SPIO and aDNS/SPIO (10 mg/kg per mouse) in their mammary fat pad regions, respectively. Isoflurane anesthetized mice were imaged with 7T MRI at 0, 24 h after injection. TR/TE, 3000/65 ms; echo train length, 10; flip angle, 150; field of view, 4 cm; slice thickness, 1.2 mm; interslice gap, 0.12 mm (10% of slice thickness); and matrix, 256×210 . The negative enhancement was calculated by [pretreated signal intensity (SI_0) -treated signal intensity $(SI_{24})]/SI_0*100$.

Immunogenicity of BsAb

To prepare monocyte-derived dendritic cells (DCs), peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) from healthy donor blood isolated by Ficoll-Paque and monocytes isolated using Miltenyi Pan Monocyte Isolation Kits and LS columns (Miltenyi Biotech). Monocytes were resuspended in RPMI1640 supplemented with 10% FCS, 2 mM L-glutamine, 100 units/ml penicillin/streptomycin, 2.5 µg/ml Fungizone and 500 units/ml recombinant human IL-4 (Invitrogen) and 500 units/ml recombinant human granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor (R&D systems), and then seeded 4×10^5 cells/well in 24-well plate. On day 6, 20 ng/ml recombinant human TNF- α (Sigma) and 10 ng/ml IL-1 β (R&D systems) were added to the cells to activate DCs for 24 h. On day 7, the harvested DCs were counted and then incubated 50 µg/ml mitomycin C for 30 min at 37 °C at a density of 1×10^6 cells/ml, then washed extensively. Autologous CD4⁺ T cells were isolated on Day 7 by negative selection using CD4⁺ T Cell Isolation Kit II and LS columns (Miltenvi Biotech). After counting, 2×10^5 CD4⁺ T Cells were added to 2×10^4 mitomycin C-treated DCs and incubated with mPEG × HER2, mPEG × DNS at concentration of 350 nM in 96-well round bottom plates. Controls included dendritic cells plus CD4⁺ T cells alone and with concentration of 10 µg/ml phytohemagglutinin (PHA). Cells were cultured at 37 °C for 5 days. Proliferation was assessed by ATPlite Luminescence Assay kit (Perkin Elmer). Counts per minute (cpm) for each well were determined by multimode plate reader (Perkin Elmer).

Supplementary information

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at https://doi. org/10.1186/s12951-020-00680-9.

Additional file 1: Table S1. The BsAb-conjugation rate of aHER2/ PEG-NPs. Table S2. The Characterization of BsAb/mPEG-NPs. Figure S1. Immunogenicity of humanized BsAbs. We cocultured dendritic cells differentiated from human PBMCs with autologous CD4⁺ T cells and stimulated with control medium (represented as DC+T), PHA (as positive control), PEG×HER2, PEG×DNS, respectively, for 5 days. Then, we detected the proliferation of CD4+ T cells by ATPlite assay. Bars, SD. CPM, counts per minute; PBMC, peripheral blood mononuclear cell; PHA, phytohemagglutinin.

Abbreviations

PEG: Polyethylene glycol; mPEG: Methoxypolyethylene glycol; HER2: Human epidermal growth factor receptor 2; SPIO: Superparamagnetic iron oxide; Qdot: Quantum dots; AuNP: Gold nanoparticle; MRI: Magnetic resonance imaging; EPR: Enhanced permeability and retention; EGFR: Human epidermal growth factor receptor; BsAb: Bispecific antibody; DNS: Dansyl; ELISA: Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay; Fab: Antigen-binding fragment; scFv: Single-chain variable fragment; HRP: Horseradish peroxidase; ABTS: 2,2'-azinobis(3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulfonic acid; SD: Standard deviation; ROI: Region of interest; SI: Signal intensity; IVIS: In vivo imaging systems; VEGFR2: Vascular endothelial growth factor 2; Dig: Digoxigenin; FDA: Food and drug administration; ICAM-1: Intercellular adhesion molecule 1; PSMA: Prostate-specific membrane antigen; TfR: Transferrin receptor; CXCR4: C-X-C motif chemokine receptor 4; CEA: Carcinoembryonic antigen.

Acknowledgements

We thank Dr. Mien-Chie Hung (Department of Molecular and Cellular Oncology, University of Texas, M. D. Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, TX) generously provided the human breast cancer cells MCF7/HER2 and MCF7/neo1.

Authors' contributions

YC and TW contributed equally to this work. YC designed and performed experiments in the manuscript, analyzed data and wrote the manuscript; TW helped with experiments, data analysis and contributed to manuscript editing; YW provided the SPIO and helped experiments, data analysis for in vivo MR imaging. KC helped with experiments, data analysis for in vitro MR imaging. IC, YL helped with data analysis and contributed to manuscript editing; CW provided the AuNP and helped with experiments. CC helped the data analysis for in vivo experiments. RL, CJ, TL, EL helped with experiments and data analysis. TC provided concept, technical guidance with bispecific antibody design and contributed to manuscript editing. FC provided the manuscript writing and editing. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

This work was supported by grants from the Ministry of Science and Technology, Taipei, Taiwan (MOST 107-2320-B-037-024 -MY3, MOST 107-2320-B-037-028-MY2 and MOST 108-2311-B-037-001); the National Health Research Institutes, Taiwan (NHRI-EX108-10729EI); Academia Sinica, Taiwan (AS-TP-107-L11); the Ministry of Education, Taiwan (108RSB0029); and the KMU-KMUH Co-Project of Key Research (KMU-DK109001), Research Foundation (KMU-DK109004) from Kaohsiung Medical University, Taiwan. This study is also supported partially by Kaohsiung Medical University Research Center Grant (Drug Development and Value Creation Research Center) (KMU-TC108A03).

Availability of data and materials

All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this published article.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

All animal procedures were performed in accordance with the Guidelines for Care and Use of Laboratory Animals of Kaohsiung Medical University and approved by the institutional animal care and use committee (IACUC) of Kaohsiung Medical University (IACUC No.: 103005). In the in vitro immunogenicity assay, the PBMC from a healthy donor was received the ethic approval of The Institutional Review Board (IRB) of the Kaohsiung Medical University, Kaohsiung, Taiwan (No. KMUHIRB-E(I)-20180056).

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author details

¹ Department of Biomedical Science and Environmental Biology, Kaohsiung Medical University, No.100, Shin-Chuan 1st Road, Sanmin Dist, Kaohsiung, Taiwan.² Cardiovascular Division of Surgical Department, Kaohsiung Veterans General Hospital, No.386, Dazhong 1st Rd, Zuoying Dist, Kaohsiung, Taiwan. ³ Department of Biological Science and Technology, Institute of Molecular Medicine and Bioengineering, National Chiao Tung University, No. 1001, University Road, Hsinchu, Taiwan.⁴ Graduate Institute of Medicine, Kaohsiung Medical University, No.100, Shin-Chuan 1st Road, Sanmin Dist, Kaohsiung, Taiwan.⁵ Department of Medical Research, Kaohsiung Medical University Hospital, No. 100, Tzyou 1st Road, Kaohsiung, Taiwan.⁶ Graduate Institute of Pharmacognosy, Taipei Medical University, No.172-1, Sec. 2, Keelung Rd, Taipei, Taiwan.⁷ Department of Radiology, Faculty of Medicine, College of Medicine, Kaohsiung Medical University, No.100, Shin-Chuan 1st Road, Sanmin Dist, Kaohsiung, Taiwan.⁸ Department of Medical Imaging, Kaohsiung Medical University Hospital, No. 100, Tzyou 1st Road, Kaohsiung, Taiwan.⁹ Division of Breast Surgery, Department of Surgery, Kaohsiung Medical University Hospital, No. 100, Tzyou 1st Road, Kaohsiung, Taiwan. ¹⁰ Department of Surgery, Kaohsiung Municipal Ta-Tung Hospital, No.68, Jhonghua 3rd Rd, Cianjin District, Kaohsiung, Taiwan.¹¹ Department of Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, College of Medicine, Kaohsiung Medical University, No.100, Shin-Chuan 1st Road, Sanmin Dist, Kaohsiung, Taiwan.¹² Drug Development and Value Creation Research Center, Kaohsiung Medical University, No.100, Shin-Chuan 1st Road, Sanmin Dist, Kaohsiung, Taiwan.¹³ Department of Medicinal and Applied Chemistry, Kaohsiung Medical University, No.100, Shin-Chuan 1st Road, Sanmin Dist, Kaohsiung, Taiwan.

Received: 11 May 2020 Accepted: 17 August 2020 Published online: 27 August 2020

References

- Napp J, Mathejczyk JE, Alves F. Optical imaging in vivo with a focus on paediatric disease: technical progress, current preclinical and clinical applications and future perspectives. Pediatr Radiol. 2011;41(2):161–75.
- 2. Catana C, Guimaraes AR, Rosen BR. PET and MR imaging: the odd couple or a match made in heaven? J Nuclear Med. 2013;54(5):815–24.
- Madsen MT, Park CH. Enhancement of SPECT images by Fourier filtering the projection image set. J Nuclear Med. 1985;26(4):395–402.
- Bobo D, Robinson KJ, Islam J, Thurecht KJ, Corrie SR. Nanoparticlebased medicines: a review of FDA-approved materials and clinical trials to date. Pharm Res. 2016;33(10):2373–87.
- 5. Jokerst JV, Lobovkina T, Zare RN, Gambhir SS. Nanoparticle PEGylation for imaging and therapy. Nanomedicine. 2011;6(4):715–28.
- Kirpotin DD, Drummond DC, Shao Y, Shalaby MR, Hong K, Nielsen UB, Marks JD, Benz CC, Park JW. Antibody targeting of long-circulating lipidic nanoparticles does not increase tumor localization but does increase internalization in animal models. Cancer Res. 2006;66(13):6732–40.
- Chen L, Zang F, Wu H, Li J, Xie J, Ma M, Gu N, Zhang Y. Using PEGylated magnetic nanoparticles to describe the EPR effect in tumor for predicting therapeutic efficacy of micelle drugs. Nanoscale. 2018;10(4):1788–97.
- Freedman M, Chang EH, Zhou Q, Pirollo KF. Nanodelivery of MRI contrast agent enhances sensitivity of detection of lung cancer metastases. Acad Radiol. 2009;16(5):627–37.
- Cheng CM, Chu PY, Chuang KH, Roffler SR, Kao CH, Tseng WL, Shiea J, Chang WD, Su YC, Chen BM, et al. Hapten-derivatized nanoparticle targeting and imaging of gene expression by multimodality imaging systems. Cancer Gene Ther. 2009;16(1):83–90.
- Lozano N, Al-Ahmady ZS, Beziere NS, Ntziachristos V, Kostarelos K. Monoclonal antibody-targeted PEGylated liposome-ICG encapsulating doxorubicin as a potential theranostic agent. Int J Pharm. 2015;482(1–2):2–10.
- Zhou Z, Zhang C, Qian Q, Ma J, Huang P, Zhang X, Pan L, Gao G, Fu H, Fu S, et al. Folic acid-conjugated silica capped gold nanoclusters for targeted fluorescence/X-ray computed tomography imaging. J Nanobiotechnol. 2013;11:17.
- Zhu L, Guo Y, Wang L, Fan X, Xiong X, Fang K, Xu D. Construction of ultrasonic nanobubbles carrying CAIX polypeptides to target carcinoma cells derived from various organs. J Nanobiotechnol. 2017;15(1):63.
- Wu SC, Chen YJ, Wang HC, Chou MY, Chang TY, Yuan SS, Chen CY, Hou MF, Hsu JT, Wang YM. Bispecific antibody conjugated manganesebased magnetic engineered iron oxide for imaging of HER2/neu- and EGFR-expressing tumors. Theranostics. 2016;6(1):118–30.
- Hajdu P, Chimote AA, Thompson TH, Koo Y, Yun Y, Conforti L. Functionalized liposomes loaded with siRNAs targeting ion channels in effector memory T cells as a potential therapy for autoimmunity. Biomaterials. 2013;34(38):10249–57.
- Sun X, Zhang G, Patel D, Stephens D, Gobin AM. Targeted cancer therapy by immunoconjugated gold-gold sulfide nanoparticles using Protein G as a cofactor. Ann Biomed Eng. 2012;40(10):2131–9.
- Cheng YA, Chen JJ, Su YC, Cheng KW, Lu YC, Lin WW, Hsieh YC, Kao CH, Chen FM, Roffler SR, et al. Enhanced drug internalization and therapeutic efficacy of PEGylated nanoparticles by one-step formulation with anti-mPEG bispecific antibody in intrinsic drug-resistant breast cancer. Biomater Sci. 2019;7(8):3404–17.
- Su YC, Chen BM, Chuang KH, Cheng TL, Roffler SR. Sensitive quantification of PEGylated compounds by second-generation antipoly(ethylene glycol) monoclonal antibodies. Bioconjug Chem. 2010;21(7):1264–70.
- Hussain AF, Kruger HR, Kampmeier F, Weissbach T, Licha K, Kratz F, Haag R, Calderon M, Barth S. Targeted delivery of dendritic polyglyceroldoxorubicin conjugates by scFv-SNAP fusion protein suppresses EGFR + cancer cell growth. Biomacromol. 2013;14(8):2510–20.

- Schneider B, Grote M, John M, Haas A, Bramlage B, Ickenstein LM, Jahn-Hofmann K, Bauss F, Cheng W, Croasdale R, et al. Targeted siRNA delivery and mRNA knockdown mediated by bispecific digoxigeninbinding antibodies. Mol Therapy Nucleic Acids. 2012;1:e46.
- Li S, Chen L, Wang G, Xu L, Hou S, Chen Z, Xu X, Wang X, Liu F, Du YZ. Anti-ICAM-1 antibody-modified nanostructured lipid carriers: a pulmonary vascular endothelium-targeted device for acute lung injury therapy. J Nanobiotechnol. 2018;16(1):105.
- Han Y, An Y, Jia G, Wang X, He C, Ding Y, Tang Q. Facile assembly of upconversion nanoparticle-based micelles for active targeted dualmode imaging in pancreatic cancer. J Nanobiotechnol. 2018;16(1):7.
- Paulis LE, Jacobs I, van den Akker NM, Geelen T, Molin DG, Starmans LW, Nicolay K, Strijkers GJ. Targeting of ICAM-1 on vascular endothelium under static and shear stress conditions using a liposomal Gdbased MRI contrast agent. J Nanobiotechnol. 2012;10(1):25.
- Chen F, Ma K, Madajewski B, Zhuang L, Zhang L, Rickert K, Marelli M, Yoo B, Turker MZ, Overholtzer M, et al. Ultrasmall targeted nanoparticles with engineered antibody fragments for imaging detection of HER2-overexpressing breast cancer. Nat Commun. 2018;9(1):4141.
- 24. Cheng Z, Al Zaki A, Hui JZ, Muzykantov VR, Tsourkas A. Multifunctional nanoparticles: cost versus benefit of adding targeting and imaging capabilities. Science. 2012;338(6109):903–10.
- Jin T, Tiwari DK, Tanaka S-i, Inouye Y, Yoshizawa K, Watanabe TM. Antibody–ProteinA conjugated quantum dots for multiplexed imaging of surface receptors in living cells. Mol BioSyst. 2010;6(11):2325–31.
- Leonetti M, Thai R, Cotton J, Leroy S, Drevet P, Ducancel F, Boulain JC, Menez A. Increasing immunogenicity of antigens fused to Ig-binding proteins by cell surface targeting. J Immunol. 1998;160(8):3820–7.
- Paganelli G, Chinol M, Maggiolo M, Sidoli A, Corti A, Baroni S, Siccardi AG. The three-step pretargeting approach reduces the human antimouse antibody response in patients submitted to radioimmunoscintigraphy and radioimmunotherapy. Eur J Nucl Med. 1997;24(3):350–1.
- 28. Narain R. Chemistry of bioconjugates: synthesis, characterization, and biomedical applications. Hoboken: Wiley; 2013.

Page 12 of 12

- Hock MB, Thudium KE, Carrasco-Triguero M, Schwabe NF. Immunogenicity of antibody drug conjugates: bioanalytical methods and monitoring strategy for a novel therapeutic modality. AAPS J. 2015;17(1):35–43.
- Scott AM, Wolchok JD, Old LJ. Antibody therapy of cancer. Nat Rev Cancer. 2012;12(4):278–87.
- Gao SH, Huang K, Tu H, Adler AS. Monoclonal antibody humanness score and its applications. BMC Biotechnol. 2013;13:55.
- Joubert MK, Deshpande M, Yang J, Reynolds H, Bryson C, Fogg M, Baker MP, Herskovitz J, Goletz TJ, Zhou L, et al. Use of in vitro assays to assess immunogenicity risk of antibody-based biotherapeutics. PLoS ONE. 2016;11(8):e0159328.
- Harding FA, Stickler MM, Razo J, Bridge RB. The immunogenicity of humanized and fully human antibodies: residual immunogenicity resides in the CDR regions. mAbs. 2010;2(3):256–65.
- Kao CH, Wang JY, Chuang KH, Chuang CH, Cheng TC, Hsieh YC, Tseng YL, Chen BM, Roffler SR, Cheng TL. One-step mixing with humanized anti-mPEG bispecific antibody enhances tumor accumulation and therapeutic efficacy of mPEGylated nanoparticles. Biomaterials. 2014;35(37):9930–40.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

